Lagrangian blocking in highly viscous shear flows past a sphere
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An analytical and computational study of Lagrangian trajectories for linear shear flow past a sphere or spheroid at low Reynolds numbers is presented. Using the exact solutions available for the fluid flow in this geometry, we discover and analyse blocking phenomena, local bifurcation structures and their influence on dynamical effects arising in the fluid particle paths. In particular, building on the work by Chwang & Wu, who established an intriguing blocking phenomenon in two-dimensional flows, whereby a cylinder placed in a linear shear prevents an unbounded region of upstream fluid from passing the body, we show that a similar blocking exists in three-dimensional flows. For the special case when the sphere is centred on the zero-velocity plane of the background shear, the separatrix streamline surfaces which bound the blocked region are computable in closed form by quadrature. This allows estimation of the cross-sectional area of the blocked flow showing how the area transitions from finite to infinite values, depending on the cross-section location relative to the body. When the sphere is off-centre, the quadrature appears to be unavailable due to the broken up-down mirror symmetry. In this case, computations provide evidence for the persistence of the blocking region. Furthermore, we document a complex bifurcation structure in the particle trajectories as the sphere centre is moved from the zero-velocity plane of the background flow. We compute analytically the emergence of different fixed points in the flow and characterize the global streamline topology associated with these fixed points, which includes the emergence of a three-dimensional bounded eddy. Similar results for the case of spheroids are considered in Appendix B. Additionally, the broken symmetry offered by a tilted spheroid geometry induces new three-dimensional effects on streamline deflection, which can be viewed as effective positive or negative suction in the horizontal direction orthogonal to the background flow, depending on the tilt orientation. We conclude this study with results on the case of a sphere embedded at a generic position in a rotating background flow, with its own prescribed rotation including fixed and freely rotating. Exact closed-form solutions for fluid particle trajectories are derived.
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1. Introduction

Fluid flow past a rigid body is a fundamental problem in fluid dynamics. It plays an important role in the study of particle entrainment, sediment transport,
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microfluidic mixing, micro-organism locomotion and many other areas of geophysical
and biophysical interests. An important class of problems concerns scales where inertia
plays a subdominant role to viscous forces, which is the case for many biophysical
applications. The Stokes approximation becomes relevant in such cases, despite its
limitations in governing fluid motion far from the body. While the case of uniform
flows and its ensuing far-field paradoxes in two and three dimensions are well known,
features associated with (spatially) non-uniform fluid flows at the far field have
received comparatively less attention in the literature.

For far-field linear flows, some experimental and analytical results have been
presented by Jeffery (1922), Cox, Zia & Mason (1968), Robertson & Acrivos (1970),
Kossack & Acrivos (1974), Poe & Acrivos (1975) and Chwang & Wu (1975). In
particular, Jeffery (1922) provided the solutions for both fluid and body motions for
the case of an ellipsoid free to move under the fluid–body forces in an imposed far-
field linear flow. Even when the velocity field is analytically available, the Lagrangian
viewpoint of the fluid particle motion is seldom studied and general solutions are
naturally not available. Interestingly, for a freely rotating sphere with its centre fixed
at the zero-velocity (say, horizontal) plane of a background linear shear, Cox et al.
(1968) computed fluid particle trajectories in closed form by quadratures. For a fixed
body, there are even fewer results for particle trajectories. Bretherton (1962), and
later Chwang & Wu (1975), present an expression for the streamfunction for the
two-dimensional flow around a fixed disk with its centre on the zero-velocity line in
a linear shear. (We will hereafter use the terminology ‘disk’ to refer to an infinitely
long cylinder whose axis is perpendicular to the background stream, i.e. an inherently
two-dimensional set-up). These authors noted an interesting blocking phenomenon
which was observed numerically and experimentally by Robertson & Acrivos (1970)
and Poe & Acrivos (1975). This blocking behaviour is a strong modification of the
particle trajectories from situations without and with a fixed disk: in the absence of
the body, particles are swept by the shear flow on straight horizontal lines, never
crossing the zero-velocity horizontal line. When the disk is placed into the flow,
two regions of fluid emerge in which particles cross the zero-velocity line as they
approach the disk in either forward or backward time. Particles initially within these
regions are confined to them, and will never pass through the vertical line through
the disk’s centre orthogonal to the background shear flow. One of the aims of this
paper is to analyse this kind of phenomenon in more general three-dimensional flows
associated with spheres and spheroids. Such studies may find further application in
more complex situations involving the addition of electrostatic interactions such as
reviewed by Spielman (1977) and Feke & Schowalter (1983), who reported on aspects
of particle trapping and aggregation for situations involving the addition of attractive
and repulsive interactive forces.

Generally, the regions where blockage occurs are bounded by separation ‘stream-
surfaces’. In the two-dimensional case involving a linear shear flow past a disk,
the height of these separation streamlines becomes infinite far from the disk, an
effect which was observed by Bretherton (1962) and Chwang & Wu (1975) and was
conjectured not to persist in a three-dimensional shear flow past a fixed sphere. This
case does not appear to have been studied in detail, although particle trajectories are
sketched in the symmetry plane by Robertson & Acrivos (1970) and Leal (2007).

Most of the existing literature seems to concentrate on the flow velocity field
and the forces acting on the sphere, see for example, Jeffery (1922), Saffman (1965),
Chwang & Wu (1975), Pozrikidis (1997), Mikulencak & Morris (2004) and Kim &
Karrila (2005).
Here we demonstrate that the blocking phenomenon persists in the three-dimensional flows for the simple linear shear flow past a fixed sphere, and obtain explicit expressions for the blocking regions, such as the bounding stream surfaces and asymptotic estimates for the blocked regions in the far field.

This paper is organized as follows. In §2, we introduce the problem and the velocity field for an unbounded linear shear flow past a fixed sphere. In §3, we integrate by quadratures the fluid particle equations when the sphere’s centre is in the zero-velocity plane. In particular, we study in detail the stagnation points with their associated surfaces, as these provide the framework for the blocked region geometry, and the mode of divergence of the blocked regions’ cross-sectional area is calculated. Section 4 examines the flow field bifurcations as the centre of the sphere is moved off the zero-velocity plane. Numerical results illustrate the global bifurcations and demonstrate the persistence of the blocked regions. In §5, we consider the case of a rotating sphere embedded in a rotating fluid, which is perhaps more relevant to mixing applications. In Appendix B, we provide results for the case of spheroids. The blocking phenomenon in this case has new features with respect to the spherical case, including deformation of fluid particle trajectories in a positive and negative suction pattern depending on the orientation of the spheroid with respect to the background shear.

2. Formulation of the problem

We study the motion of an unbounded linear shear flow \( U = \Omega e_x + U e_x \) of constant density \( \rho \) and dynamic viscosity \( \mu \), past a fixed sphere

\[
x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = a^2.
\]  

(2.1)

Since the fluid is incompressible, the continuity equation is

\[
\text{div} \, u = 0,
\]  

(2.2)

where \( u \) is the fluid velocity. In this paper, we assume that the inertial terms in the Navier–Stokes equations can be neglected. Thus, the equations of motion are

\[
\mu \nabla^2 u = \nabla p,
\]  

(2.3)

where \( p \) denotes the fluid pressure. The condition for (2.3) to hold is that \( Re = \frac{\Omega a^2 \rho}{\mu} \ll 1 \). The boundary conditions are that \( u = 0 \) on the solid boundary, and \( u \) is asymptotic to the basic shear flow at large distances from the rigid body.

A schematic diagram of the problems is shown in figure 1. Case 1 in figure 1(a) is the shear flow \( \Omega e_x \) past a fixed sphere at the origin. Case 2 in figure 1(b) is the shear \( \Omega e_x + U e_x \) past the sphere, where the centre of the sphere is out of the zero-velocity plane of the background shear.

The exact velocity field is constructed by employing Stokes doublets associated with the base vectors \( e_x \) and \( e_y \) and potential quadrupole in Chwang & Wu (1975). More details about fundamental singularities can be found in their paper. The velocity field \( u \) for a fixed sphere in the linear shear is

\[
u = \frac{5a^3}{6} \frac{3xz}{r^5} + \frac{a^3}{2} \frac{e_x \times x}{r^3} - \frac{a^5}{6} \nabla \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial z} \frac{1}{r}
\]  

(2.4)
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Figure 1. Flow past a fixed sphere \( x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = a^2 \). Without loss of generality, assume \( \Omega \geq 0 \) and \( U \geq 0 \).

where \( x = (x, y, z) \), \( r = |x| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \), \( e_x \), \( e_y \) and \( e_y \) are the unit vectors along the \( x \), \( y \) and \( z \) directions, respectively. The force acting on the fixed sphere is \( F = 6\pi \mu U e_x \) and the torque at the origin is \( T = -4\pi \mu \Omega a^3 e_z \), as shown by Chwang & Wu (1975).

Let \( x' = x/a \), \( u' = u/(a\Omega) \) and \( U' = U/(a\Omega) \), non-dimensionalizing the equations (dropping the primes), the non-dimensional velocity field is

\[
    u = ze_x - \frac{5}{2} \frac{xz}{r^5} e_x + \frac{e_y \times x}{2r^3} - \frac{1}{6} \nabla \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial z} \frac{1}{r}
    + \frac{U}{4} \left( e_x - \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{e_y}{r} + \frac{(e_x \times x)}{r^3} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\nabla}{\partial x} \frac{1}{r} \right). \tag{2.5}
\]

From now on, we use the non-dimensional variables unless stated otherwise.

3. Shear past a sphere whose centre is in the centreplane

In this section, we first derive closed formulae for the fluid particle trajectories in the case of an unbounded linear shear past a fixed unit sphere, whose centre lies in the zero-velocity plane of the background shear flow. Then, we investigate the blocking phenomenon based on the trajectory equations. We report results on the flow structure on the \( y = 0 \) symmetry plane, followed by results out of this symmetry plane and compare these results with the two-dimensional flow around an infinitely long cylinder. Additionally, we will analytically calculate the stagnation points, the three-dimensional separatrix, and the measurement of blocking regions, and analyse the structure of the flow near the sphere. For this case, the centre of the sphere is in the zero-velocity plane of the background, and the velocity field is a simplified form of (2.5)

\[
    u = ze_x - \frac{5}{2} \frac{xz}{r^5} e_x + \frac{e_y \times x}{2r^3} - \frac{1}{6} \nabla \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial z} \frac{1}{r}. \tag{3.1}
\]

3.1. Exact quadrature formulae for the fluid particle trajectories

Here, streamlines are constructed as the intersection of two stream surfaces for a three-dimensional flow. Of course, it is not always possible to find explicit formulae of streamlines for an arbitrary flow field, and we show how particle trajectories may be computed in closed form for the complex flow studied in this paper.
On the basis of the special geometry of this problem, we change the coordinates from rectangular coordinates to spherical coordinates \((r, \phi, \theta)\). Using the explicit fluid flow, we may immediately write the particle trajectory equations in spherical coordinates as

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dr}{dt} &= \cos \theta \sin(2\phi) \frac{3 - 5r^2 + 2r^5}{4r^4}, \\
\frac{d\theta}{dt} &= \sin \theta \cot \phi \frac{1 + r^2 - 2r^5}{2r^5}, \\
\frac{d\phi}{dt} &= \cos \theta \frac{(2\phi)(r^5 - 1) + r^5 - r^2}{2r^5},
\end{align*}
\]

(3.2)

where \(r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}\) \((1 \leq r < \infty)\), \(\phi = \arccos(z/r)\) \((0 \leq \phi \leq \pi)\) and \(\theta = \arctan(y/x)\) \((-\pi \leq \theta < \pi)\).

Since ordinary differential equation (ODE) system (3.2) is an autonomous system, we eliminate time \(t\) and use the radius \(r\) as a new independent variable giving a system for \(\frac{d\phi}{dr}\) and \(\frac{d\theta}{dr}\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\theta}{dr} &= \frac{(1 + r^2 - 2r^5) \tan \theta}{(3r - 5r^3 + 2r^6) \sin^2 \phi}, \\
\frac{d\phi}{dr} &= \frac{-r^2 + r^5 + (r^5 - 1) \cos(2\phi)}{r(3 - 5r^2 + 2r^5) \cos \phi \sin \phi}.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.3)

(3.4)

Next, changing the variable \(y = r \sin \theta \sin \phi\) and taking the derivative of \(y\) with respect to \(r\), yields

\[
\frac{dy}{dr} = \sin \theta \sin \phi + r \cos \theta \sin \phi \frac{d\theta}{dr} + r \sin \theta \cos \phi \frac{d\phi}{dr}.
\]

(3.5)

Substituting \(\frac{d\theta}{dr}\) and \(\frac{d\phi}{dr}\) into the above equation and replacing \(\sin \theta \sin \phi\) with \(y/r\), we get

\[
\frac{dy}{dr} = \frac{-5(1 + r) \sin \theta \sin \phi}{(r - 1)(3 + 6r + 4r^2 + 2r^3)} = \frac{-5(1 + r)y}{r(r - 1)(3 + 6r + 4r^2 + 2r^3)}.
\]

(3.6)

Similarly, take a derivative of \(z = r \cos \phi\) with respect to \(r\) and substitute \(\frac{d\phi}{dr}\) into the resulting formula,

\[
\frac{dz}{dr} = \cos \phi - r \sin \phi \frac{d\phi}{dr} = \frac{(1 + r)(5 \cos^2 \phi - 1)}{(3 + 3r - 2r^2 - 2r^3 - 4r^4) \cos \phi}.
\]

(3.7)

Replacing \(\cos \phi\) with \(z/r\), the above equation becomes

\[
\frac{dz}{dr} = \frac{(r + 1)(r^2 - 5z^2)}{r(r - 1)(3 + 6r + 4r^2 + 2r^3)z}.
\]

(3.8)

The obtained ODEs \(dy/dr\) and \(dz/dr\) decouple.

Using separation of variables, ODE (3.6) can be solved analytically. The analytic solution is

\[
y^3 = C_1 \frac{r^5}{(r - 1)^2(3 + 6r + 4r^2 + 2r^3)}.
\]

(3.9)
The ODE in (3.8) is not exact, and hence not immediately separable; nonetheless, an integrating factor may be found. We rewrite this as

\[
\frac{(1 + r)(r^2 - 5z^2)}{(r - 1)r(3 + 6r + 4r^2 + 2r^3)} \, dr - z \, dz = 0. \tag{3.10}
\]

Notice that multiplying this equation by the integrating factor \((3 - 5r^2 + 2r^5)^{2/3}/r^{10/3}\) yields an exact equation which is solved in closed integral form:

\[
\int_{1/r}^{1/r_0} \frac{(1 + s)(1 - s)^{1/3}}{(2 + 4s + 6s^2 + 3s^3)^{1/3}} \, ds - \frac{(3 - 5r^2 + 2r^5)^{2/3}}{2r^{10/3}} z^2 = C_2. \tag{3.11}
\]

Here \(C_1\) and \(C_2\) are constants determined by the initial values \(y_0, z_0\) and \(r_0 = \sqrt{x_0^2 + y_0^2 + z_0^2}\).

Equations (3.9) and (3.11) describe the fluid particle trajectories. If \(r_0 \neq 1\), (3.11) can be rewritten as

\[
z^2 = 2r^{10/3} \int_{1/r}^{1/r_0} \frac{(1 + s)(1 - s)}{(2 - 5s^3 + 3s^5)^{1/3}} \, ds - z_0^2 \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{10/3} \left( \frac{3 - 5r_0^2 + 2r_0^5}{3 - 5r^2 + 2r^5} \right)^{2/3}. \tag{3.12}
\]

This equation expresses the height \(z\) of the fluid particle trajectory in terms of \(r\). These trajectory equations provide rigorous tools for studying the blocking phenomenon.

3.2. Blocking phenomenon

Here we analyse the blocking phenomenon which occurs in this flow. This blocking behaviour is a strong modification of the particle trajectories from situations without and with a fixed solid sphere present in the flow: In the absence of a solid sphere, particles released in the shear flow (say, starting above the \(z = 0\) plane) will be swept from large negative \(x\) values, to large positive \(x\) values as time progresses. However, when the fixed, solid sphere is introduced into the flow, a large measure of particle trajectories lose this streaming property. For example, blocked particles starting with large negative \(x\) values do not pass the sphere as time progresses, but rather limit back to large negative \(x\) values as time progresses. The regions where this behaviour occurs in three-dimensional space are defined to be the ‘blocked regions’ of the flow; see figure 2, which depicts this blocked region when the flow is restricted to the two-dimensional symmetry plane. We note that this type of behaviour has been observed for the case of an infinitely long cylinder immersed in a linear shear flow by Chwang & Wu (1975); however, they conjectured that this behaviour would not persist in situations involving a sphere (instead of a cylinder). Here, we show that in fact for the case of the sphere, the blocked region persists and, moreover, we analytically compute the geometry of this region, and show that it has infinite cross-sectional areas. With the exact, closed-form expressions for the particle trajectories given in (3.9) and (3.12), we may proceed directly to compute the geometry of the blocked regions.

3.2.1. Blocking phenomenon in the \(y = 0\) symmetry plane

In the \(y = 0\) plane, the velocity field is

\[
u(x, 0, z) = z \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2r^3} - \frac{5x^2}{2r^5} - \frac{z^2 - 4x^2}{2r^7} \right). \tag{3.13}
\]
Figure 2. Streamlines in the $y = 0$ plane with the linear shear flow $ze_x$ past a fixed sphere. The four points mark the stagnation points on the unit sphere in this symmetry plane. Note that the separatrix height limits to approximately 0.88207 and is rigorously less than unity.

$$v(x, 0, z) = 0,$$  \quad (3.14)

$$w(x, 0, z) = x \left( \frac{1}{2r^3} - \frac{5z^2}{2r^5} - \frac{x^2 - 4z^2}{2r^7} \right),$$  \quad (3.15)

and $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} = \sqrt{x^2 + z^2}$. Notice that one velocity component $v$ vanishes. Particles initially on this plane never leave this plane, i.e. $y = 0$ for the particle trajectory. Fluid particles in this plane are thus described by the closed integral formula (3.12) with $r^2_0 = x^2_0 + z^2_0$ and $r^2 = x^2 + z^2$. The streamlines in the $y = 0$ symmetry plane shown in figure 2 explicitly depict the blocked region. The fully three-dimensional structure of the blocking region will be described below.

The four dots on the sphere in figure 2 are stagnation points, $(x, y, z) = ( \pm 2/\sqrt{5}, 0, \pm 1/\sqrt{5})$ in rectangular coordinates. Two other stagnation points on the sphere are located at $(0, \pm 1, 0)$, which are out of this symmetry plane. Stagnation points are special among the fixed points that comprise a no-slip boundary. We define a point on such boundaries to be stagnation points if, for any neighbourhood of one such point, there exists a subset of material fluid points of the neighbourhood that never leave the neighbourhood in backward (for a repelling stagnation point) or forward (for an attracting stagnation point) infinite time.

From figure 2, it is clear that there are blocked regions. For example, the flow is separated by the stagnation line in the second quadrant. Below that stagnation line the flow is trapped on the left side of the sphere.

It is worth comparing this case with that of the analogous two-dimensional flow. For the two-dimensional flow in the case of an infinitely long cylinder immersed in a linear shear flow with the cylinder axis perpendicular to the lines of constant shear, the stream function is

$$\phi(x, z) = \frac{1}{2}z^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \log r,$$  \quad (3.16)

see Chwang & Wu (1975) and Jeffrey & Sherwood (1980) for more details. Here $r^2 = x^2 + z^2$, and the radius of the cylinder is unity. The stagnation points on the cylinder are $(\pm \sqrt{3}/2, \pm 1/2)$. Notice that the separatrix is totally explicit in this case.
Moreover, as \( x \to \pm \infty \), the height \( |z| \) of separatrix goes to \( \infty \). This peculiar behaviour is in some sense similar to the well-known Stokes paradox in a two-dimensional uniform flow past a cylinder. Our results below show that the limiting height of the separatrix is finite in the case involving a fixed, rigid sphere, in sharp contrast with the two-dimensional case.

### 3.2.2. Blocking phenomenon off the \( y = 0 \) plane

By continuity, it is expected that the blocking phenomenon extends outside the \( y = 0 \) symmetry plane. Our analytic results not only show the existence of this three-dimensional blocking region but also establish that the height of the blocking region is bounded by a constant less than the sphere radius, and dependent upon the distance off the symmetry plane. (We will compute explicitly the three-dimensional geometry of the blocked region in §§ 3.4 and 3.5.)

Recall (3.9) and (3.12) with the initial value \((x_0, y_0, z_0)\):

\[
y = \frac{y_0}{r_0^{5/3}(r - 1)^{2/3}} \left( 3 + 6r + 4r^2 + 2r^3 \right)^{1/3},
\]

\[
z^2 = \frac{2r^{10/3}}{(3 - 5r^2 + 2r^5)^{2/3}} \int_{1/r}^{r_0} \frac{(1 + s)(1 - s)^{1/3}}{(2 + 4s + 6s^2 + 3s^3)^{1/3}} \, ds + \frac{z_0^{10/3}r_0^{10/3}}{r_0^{10/3}} \left( \frac{3 - 5r_0^2 + 2r_0^5}{3 - 5r^2 + 2r^5} \right)^{2/3},
\]

(3.17)

where \( r_0 = \sqrt{x_0^2 + y_0^2 + z_0^2} \). Particle trajectories are determined by simultaneously solving (intersecting these surfaces) these equations to obtain a curve relating \((x, y, z)\).

Figure 3 shows the separation surfaces in the flow. As shown in this figure, there is a region off the \( x-z \) plane between the separation surfaces, where the flow is blocked. The vertical plane in this figure shows the cross-section of the blocking region. The area of this cross-section in the limit of \( x \to \pm \infty \) will be discussed in §3.5. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show the stagnation lines close to the sphere and how they connect to the fixed points in the fluid. In this case, the fixed points are the \( y \)-axis outside of the sphere. This curve of fixed points is a subset of the original \( z = 0 \) plane of fixed points present in the absence of the rigid sphere. From figure 4(b), it is easy to see that they are hyperbolic fixed points.

### 3.3. Stagnation points on the sphere

Since all points on a solid boundary are fixed points of the flow, special care is needed to define stagnation points which reside on a solid boundary. This degeneracy on
solid boundaries may be split by computing those points on the boundary for which the linearization of the velocity vector field vanishes. These will define the stagnation points on the rigid boundary. Streamlines in the fluid which end at any stagnation point (whether in the fluid or on the boundary) are referred to as stagnation lines. Stagnation lines ending on the boundary are not necessarily perpendicular to the no-slip, rigid boundary. For a 2D flow, the angle between the stagnation line and the rigid surface can be computed, as seen in Pozrikidis (1997).

To find the stagnation points on the sphere, we linearize and rescale the velocity equation near the surface of the sphere. When the velocity field in spherical coordinates is linearized with respect to the radius $r$ at 1, the expansions are

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = O((r-1)^2),$$

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = -4 \cot \phi \sin \theta (r-1) + O((r-1)^2),$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{3 + 5 \cos(2\phi)}{2} \cos \theta (r-1) + O((r-1)^2).$$

After rescaling the time $\tau = t(r-1)$ and neglecting the higher order, we reduce the ODE system to

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{dr}{d\tau} = 0, \\
\frac{d\theta}{d\tau} = -4 \cot \phi \sin \theta, \\
\frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = \frac{3 + 5 \cos(2\phi)}{2} \cos \theta.
\end{cases}$$

The steady state of the above ODE system provides the stagnation points, yielding the following conditions:

$$\cot \phi \sin \theta = 0, \quad 2 \cos \theta (5 \cos^2 \phi - 1) = 0.$$  

Since $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, six stagnation points on the sphere are

$$r = 1, \begin{cases}
\theta = 0, \pi, \\
\phi = \arccos \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right), \arccos \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right),
\end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases}
\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}, \\
\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}.
\end{cases}$$

Figure 4. (a) Streamlines on separation surface close to the sphere. (b) A zoomed-in view of the cube near the y-axis.
Rewritten in rectangular coordinates, these points are located at

\[(0, \pm 1, 0) \text{ and } \left(\pm \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}, 0, \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right)\]

(3.25)

The rescaled velocity field provides an imprint of the particle trajectory pattern just off the sphere surface which mathematically reduces to heteroclinic connections between the stagnation points. These connections can be found from the ODE system (3.22),

\[
\frac{d\theta}{d\phi} = -\frac{8 \cot \phi \tan \theta}{3 + 5 \cos(2\phi)},
\]

and the solution is

\[
\sin^2 \theta = C \frac{4 \cos^2 \phi - \sin^2 \phi}{\sin^2 \phi} = C \frac{5 \cos^2 \phi - 1}{\sin^2 \phi},
\]

(3.27)

where \(C\) is a constant depending on the initial value of \(r, \theta\) and \(\phi\). When \(r = 1\), using the stagnation points as initial conditions, we get the equation of the trajectories on the sphere in rectangular coordinates:

\[(x, y, z) = (\pm 2 \cos \phi, \pm \sqrt{1 - 5 \cos^2 \phi}, \pm \cos \phi), \quad (\arccos(1/\sqrt{5}) < \phi < \pi/2). \]

(3.28)

Or, \(r = 1\) and \(\cos \theta = \pm 2 \cot \phi\) in the spherical coordinates. These trajectories connect the stagnation points in the rescaled flow field and demonstrate the topological structure on the sphere (see figure 5). From these trajectories, in the rescaled coordinates, we classify these stagnation points on the sphere as four nodal points (in the symmetry plane) and two hyperbolic points (on the \(y\)-axis). We emphasize that the rescaled flows are a projection onto the sphere, and all of these fixed points in the rescaled system correspond to higher-order (quadratic) hyperbolic points in the original system. We further remark that the entire \(y\)-axis exterior to the sphere is a line of fixed points. For finite values along the \(y\)-axis, this line is hyperbolic (in the
x–z plane) with orientation depending upon the distance from the sphere. Infinitely far from the sphere along the y-axis, these fixed points lose their hyperbolic structure, with the flow becoming a simple shear flow (the background flow). In this limit, the orientation angle tends to zero. In the opposite limit approaching the sphere, this line of hyperbolic points tends to the higher-order hyperbolic fixed point on the sphere, with orientation angle depicted by the geodesic curves in figure 5, with a tangent value $4/3$.

3.4. Stagnation lines

The precise mathematical definition of the blocked region requires some care to set up. Clearly, the unblocking and blocking regions are divided by the separation surfaces created by stagnation lines in the interior of the fluid as depicted in figures 2 and 3. These regions may be succinctly defined as follows. We define the set of unblocked trajectories to be the set of initial points whose particle trajectories intersect the $x=0$ plane off of the y-axis in finite or infinite time. This set of points is topologically open. The complement of this set (thus closed), we define to be the blocking region. Notice that the boundary of this set defines the separation surface. This connected surface contains the separating surface in the fluid, the y-axis, and the sphere surface.

To calculate this separation surface, we first identify the stagnation lines using the explicit formulae for the trajectory equations given in (3.9) and (3.12), then study their properties on and off the $y=0$ symmetry plane. Through this analysis, we will prove that the height $|z|$ of stagnation lines is finite as $x \to \pm \infty$ and $y$ fixed.

3.4.1. Stagnation lines in the $y=0$ symmetry plane

Since one velocity component vanishes in this plane, streamlines are only governed by (3.12)

$$z^2 = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{3}{2r^5} - \frac{5}{2r^3} + 1\right)^{2/3}} \left( \int_1^{1/r} \frac{(1 + s)(1 - s)^{1/3}}{(1 + 2s + 3s^2 + \frac{3}{2} s^3)} ds + C \right),$$

(3.29)

where $C$ is determined by the initial value $(x_0, 0, z_0)$.

As we know from the previous subsection, four stagnation points on the sphere in this symmetry plane are $(\pm 2/\sqrt{5}, 0, \pm 1/\sqrt{5})$. We use these points as the initial value and get the equation of the stagnation line in this plane

$$z^2 = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{3}{2r^5} - \frac{5}{2r^3} + 1\right)^{2/3}} \int_1^{1/r} \frac{(1 + s)(1 - s)^{1/3}}{(1 + 2s + 3s^2 + \frac{3}{2} s^3)} ds.$$  

(3.30)

A few remarks regarding this stagnation line may be made. First, the height, $z$, of the stagnation line is bounded. This is easily seen by replacing the denominator in the integrand by unity and evaluating the integral. This gives a constant slightly bigger than the unit sphere radius. Second, this bound may be improved substantially through dividing the integral into subintervals and further integrand estimates (Zhao 2010). In fact, this ultimately establishes very tight upper and lower bounds for the limiting height value of the stagnation line in the limit $x \to \infty$. This upper bound is less than unity, with value 0.8831, and the lower bound is 0.8811. Numerically, we find

$$|z_{\text{max}}| \approx 0.88207 \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty.$$  

(3.31)
3.4.2. Stagnation lines off the $y = 0$ symmetry plane

We next calculate the stagnation surface out of the symmetry plane. As shown above, the set of fixed points which are detached from the sphere is the $y$-axis. Thus, any stagnation line not in the symmetry plane must contain a unique point on the $y$-axis (as shown in figures 3 and 4, which demonstrate this fact). We use these fixed points as the initial condition $r_0 = y_0 > 1$, $z_0 = 0$, and substitute them into the parametric equations for the fluid particle trajectory to obtain stagnation lines lying outside of the symmetry plane

\[
y = y_0^5/3 (y_0 - 1)^{2/3} (3 + 6y_0 + 4y_0^2 + 2y_0^3)^{1/3},
\]

\[
z^2 = \frac{2r^{10/3}}{(3 - 5r^2 + 2r^3)^{2/3}} \int_{1/r}^{1/y_0} \frac{(1 + s)(1 - s)^{1/3}}{(2 + 4s + 6s^2 + 3s^3)^{1/3}} \, ds.
\]

This provides the equations for the stagnation lines out of the symmetry plane.

Notice that the stagnation line in the symmetry plane terminates on the sphere at a point which is not on the $y$-axis. Thus, next we investigate how the points on the separation surface close to the symmetry plane topologically connect stagnation lines intersecting the $y$-axis with the stagnation line in the symmetry plane. (Because of the symmetry of the flow, we only consider the case of $y_0$ close to +1.)

Using the $y$-coordinate of the stagnation lines crossing the $y$-axis given in (3.32), with an initial condition $(0, 1 + \delta, 0)$ ($\delta \ll 1$), which is a point close to $(0, 1, 0)$, we have

\[
y = \left(\frac{5\delta(15 + 20\delta + 10\delta^2 + 2\delta^3)}{2(1 + \delta)^2}\right)^{1/3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-2/3} \left(1 + \frac{2r}{r^2 + \frac{3}{2}r^3}\right)^{-1/3}.
\]

As $r \to \infty$, this limits to

\[
\left(\frac{15}{2}\right)^{1/3} \frac{\sqrt[3]{1 + \frac{4\delta}{3}} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2\delta^3}{15\delta^3}}{(1 + \delta)^{2/3}},
\]

and, as $\delta \to 0$, the leading-order approximation is $(15/2)^{1/3} \delta^{2/3}$. This shows that the out of the symmetry plane stagnation line crossing the fixed point $(0, y_0, 0)$, which is sufficiently close to the stagnation point $(0, 1, 0)$ on the sphere, asymptotically approaches the stagnation line in the $y = 0$ plane as $r \to \infty$ (we note that the $z$-value given in (3.33) trivially converges in this limit to the limiting height computed above). This property guarantees that the blocking region at $x = \infty$ is completely characterized by the separation lines which intersect the $y$-axis. This will be very useful in measuring the cross-sectional area of the blocking region.

3.5. Cross-sectional area of the blocking region as $x \to \infty$

We next study the geometry of this blocking region far from the body. We do this by examining its cross-sectional structure (as in figures 3 and 6).

Unfortunately, at finite distances from the body, this cross-sectional region of intersecting the plane $x = L$ with the stagnation surface is not readily provided by the equations in (3.32) and (3.33) as they are parametrized by the spherical radius. Fortunately, we can overcome this difficulty by working with $L \to \infty$ since $r \sim x$ in this limit. In this limit, the formulae in (3.32) and (3.33) provide $(y, z)$ coordinates for the curves bounding the blocking region (shown for large, but finite $L$, in figure 6). While from this figure it is clear that the height $z = z(y)$ will decay to zero as $y \to \infty$, the limiting procedure yields a parametric representation (with parameter $y_0$) for this
As $r \to \infty$ by taking $x \to \infty$, where $z_\infty > 0$. Here $y_0$, a point on the $y$-axis, parametrizes the trajectory determined by the equations for $y$ and $z$ above. This parametric representation for the curve $z = z(y)$ may be viewed as an image of the $y$-axis under the flow after infinite time, which we may use to derive an explicit expression for the cross-sectional area. To wit, the Jacobian matrix for this mapping is

$$\frac{dy}{dy_0} = \left(1 + \frac{3}{2y_0^3} - \frac{5}{2y_0^3}\right)^{1/3} + \frac{5(1 + y_0)}{2y_0^4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{y_0}\right)^{1/3} \left(2 + \frac{4}{y_0} + \frac{6}{y_0^2} + \frac{3}{y_0^3}\right)^{2/3}}. \quad (3.38)$$

The area of the blocked flow is noted as $I$,

$$\frac{I}{4} = \int_0^\infty z(y) \, dy = \int_1^{z_\infty(y_0)} \frac{dy}{dy_0} \, dy_0 = \int_1^{z_\infty} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2y_0^3} - \frac{5}{2y_0^3}\right)^{1/3} \, dy_0 + \int_1^{z_\infty} \frac{5(1 + y_0)}{2y_0^4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{y_0}\right)^{1/3} \left(2 + \frac{4}{y_0} + \frac{6}{y_0^2} + \frac{3}{y_0^3}\right)^{2/3}} \, dy_0 = \text{part 1} + \text{part 2}. \quad (3.39)$$

For the integral part 2, the leading order of the integrand

$$\frac{5(1 + y_0)}{2y_0^4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{y_0}\right)^{1/3} \left(2 + \frac{4}{y_0} + \frac{6}{y_0^2} + \frac{3}{y_0^3}\right)^{2/3}} \quad (3.40)$$

is

$$\frac{5}{2(2)^{2/3} y_0^3} \quad (3.41)$$

as $y_0 \to \infty$. Notice that the integrand in (3.37) is bounded. Consequently, an upper bound for the decay of $z_\infty$ is $\sqrt{I/y_0}$ as $y_0 \to \infty$. Thus, the integral part 2 is bounded.
We next show that the integral part 1 diverges:

\[
\text{Part 1} = \int_{1}^{\infty} z_{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{5}{2y_{0}^{3}} + \frac{3}{2y_{0}^{5}} \right)^{1/3} \, dy_{0}.
\] (3.42)

Substitute \( z_{\infty}(y_{0}) \) in (3.37) into the integrand

\[
\text{Part 1} = \int_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\left( 1 + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2} s^{3} \right)^{1/3}} \right)^{1/2} \left( 1 - \frac{5}{2y_{0}^{3}} + \frac{3}{2y_{0}^{5}} \right)^{1/3} \, dy_{0}. \] (3.43)

As \( y_{0} \to \infty \),

\[
\left( 1 - \frac{5}{2y_{0}^{3}} + \frac{3}{2y_{0}^{5}} \right)^{1/3} \to 1. \] (3.44)

Using the following result to estimate the integral in the kernel (which follows directly through straightforward Taylor expansion),

\[
\int_{0}^{r} \frac{(1+s)(1-s)^{1/3}}{\left( 1 + 2s + 3s^{2} + \frac{3}{2} s^{3} \right)^{1/3}} \, ds = \eta - \frac{\eta^{3}}{3} + O(\eta^{4}). \] (3.45)

This establishes the following asymptotic expansion:

\[
\int_{0}^{r} \left( 1 + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2} s^{3} \right)^{1/3} \, ds \sim \frac{1}{y_{0}} \quad \text{as} \quad y_{0} \to \infty. \] (3.46)

Therefore, the integrand of part 1 is asymptotic to \( \sqrt{1/y_{0}} \) as \( y_{0} \to \infty \). With such a decay rate, the integral \( \int_{1}^{\infty} \sqrt{1/y_{0}} \, dy_{0} = \infty \) is divergent. Since the integrand is sign definite, this result shows that part 1 is divergent. Consequently, the total area of the cross-section of the blocking region is infinite when the plane \( x = x_{0} \to \infty \).

In the \( y-z \) plane (i.e. \( x = 0 \)), the blocking region is the \( y \)-axis, i.e. the area of the cross-section is zero. This is in sharp contrast with the calculation just presented above, where it was shown that at \( x = \infty \), the cross-section of the blocking region is infinite. Since the explicit, closed-form formula for the area at an arbitrary distance from the sphere is not available, we study its behaviour by analysing the integrand involved here under different asymptotic limits. We will see a continuous connection between zero cross-sectional blocking area at \( x = 0 \) and diverging cross-sectional blocking area as \( x \to \infty \).

The separation surface is generated by the stagnation lines crossing the fixed points \((0, y_{0}, 0)\) on the \( y \)-axis, where \(|y_{0}| > 1\). If we take the cross-section of the blocking region at \( x_{0} \), then \( y^{2} + z^{2} = r^{2} - x_{0}^{2} \). On the basis of the trajectory equations (3.32) and (3.33), we have

\[
\left( \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{r^{3}} - \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{r^{3}} + 1 \right)^{2/3} (r^{2} - x_{0}^{2}) = \int_{1/r}^{1/y_{0}} \frac{(s + 1)(1-s)^{1/3}}{\left( \frac{3}{2} s^{3} + 3s^{2} + 2s + 1 \right)^{1/3}} \, ds
\]

\[+ y_{0}^{2} \left( \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{y_{0}^{5}} - \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{y_{0}^{5}} + 1 \right)^{2/3}. \] (3.47)
From the above equation, we find the mapping from \( r \) to \( y_0 \) with \( x_0 \) fixed. With such a mapping, the boundary of the cross-section of the blocking area can be written as parametric functions

\[
\begin{align*}
y &= y(r(y_0), y_0) \\
z &= z(r(y_0), y_0)
\end{align*}
\]

at \( x = x_0 \) fixed. The leading-order asymptotic solution to (3.47) is

\[
r \sim \sqrt{y_0^2 + x_0^2} \quad \text{as} \quad y_0 \to \infty \quad \text{and} \quad x_0 \text{ fixed.}
\]

If \( a = (0, z) \) and \( n \) is the outer normal direction of the cross-section,

\[
a \cdot n = \frac{z(y_0) \left. \frac{dy}{dy_0} \right|}{\left| \left. \frac{dy}{dy_0} \right|}.
\]

By the divergence theorem or Gauss’ theorem, the cross-section of the blocking area \( I \) is an explicit integral:

\[
\frac{I}{4} = \int_{\Omega} \text{d}A = \int_{\Omega} \text{div} \ a \ \text{d}A = \int_{\partial \Omega} a \cdot n \ \text{d}s = \int_{\Omega} z(y_0) \left. \frac{dy}{dy_0} \right| \text{d}y_0.
\]

From the asymptotic result (3.49) and several applications of the implicit function theorem to obtain derivative asymptotics (we relegate these technical details to Appendix A), we find that for finite \( x_0 \), the integrand decays as \( x_0 / (\sqrt{2} y_0^{3/2}) \), when \( y_0 \to \infty \), which yields a finite cross-sectional blocking area.

To study the behaviour for the blocking area as \( x_0 \) increases, we take the cross-section at \( x_0 = y_0^\epsilon \), where \( \epsilon > 0 \) is a constant.

(i) When \( 0 < \epsilon < 1 \), from (3.47), we find \( r \sim \sqrt{y_0^2 + y_0^{2\epsilon}} \) as \( y_0 \to \infty \). Substitute this into the integrand for the blocking area, the integrand for the blocking area is asymptotic to \( (1/\sqrt{2})(1/y_0^{3/2-\epsilon}) \). When \( 0 < \epsilon < 1/2 \), the integral is convergent. Otherwise, \( 1/2 < \epsilon < 1 \), the integral is divergent.

(ii) If the cross-section is taken at \( x_0 = y_0^\epsilon \) (\( \epsilon \geq 1 \)), then \( r \sim \sqrt{y_0^2 + y_0^{2\epsilon}} \) as \( y_0 \to \infty \). In this case, the integrand of (3.51) for the blocking area decays as \( 3/(2\sqrt{y_0}) \) as \( y_0 \to \infty \).

This illustrates an unreported property about the solution of the Stokes flow but physically not observed. Since, at large distance, the characteristic length used in the Reynolds number need to be redefined, the inertia terms ignored in the Navier–Stokes equation are not negligible.

4. Shear past a sphere whose centre off the zero-velocity plane of the primary shear flow

When the centre of the unit sphere \( x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1 \) is out of the zero-velocity plane of the background shear flow illustrated in figure 1, the non-dimensional primary linear shear flow can be written as \( U = ze_x + U e_x \), in which the uniform flow rate \( U \) is related to the shear rate and the distance between the centre of the sphere and the zero-velocity plane of the shear flow. Without loss the generality, we can assume \( U > 0 \). While we will not be able to obtain a closed-form explicit solution in these off-centre cases as before, we will explicitly compute the fixed-point structure in closed form.
The exact velocity field for the flow is given in (2.5). We rewrite the velocity field as an ODE system in spherical coordinates:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dr}{dt} &= \frac{Ur(1+2r)+(3+6r+4r^2+2r^3)\cos\phi}{2r^4}(r-1)^2\cos\theta\sin\phi, \\
\frac{d\theta}{dt} &= \frac{Ur(1+3r^2-4r^3)+2(1+r^2-2r^5)\cos\phi}{4r^5\sin\phi}\sin\theta, \\
\frac{d\phi}{dt} &= -\frac{Ur(1+3r^2-4r^3)\cos\phi+4(1-r^5)\cos^2\phi+2(r^2-1)}{4r^5}\cos\theta.
\end{align*}
\] (4.1)

where \(1 \leq r < \infty\), \(0 \leq \phi \leq \pi\) and \(0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\). From the above ODE system (4.1), we apply the same approach as we have used in the previous section to attain the ODEs \(dy/dr\) and \(dz/dr\). Skipping the details of changing variables and taking derivatives, we get \(dy/dr\) and \(dz/dr\) with the radius \(r\) as a new independent variable:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dy}{dr} &= \frac{(1+r)(3r^2U+10z)}{2(1-r)r\left[r^2(1+2r)U+(3+6r+4r^2+2r^3)z\right]}, \\
\frac{dz}{dr} &= \frac{(1+r)(3uz+10\frac{z^2}{r^2}-2)}{2(1-r)\left[r(1+2r)U+(3+6r+4r^2+2r^3)\frac{z}{r}\right]}
\end{align*}
\] (4.2) (4.3)

and \(x = \sqrt{r^2-y^2-z^2}\). Here, \(dy/dr\) and \(dz/dr\) are no longer decoupled as in the first case, though \(dz/dr\) is independent of \(y\). For this case, we have not found the fluid particle trajectories explicitly, but (4.2) and (4.3) are crucial for determining the bifurcation diagrams of the flow.

To demonstrate the flow structures, we plot trajectories of fluid particles with different uniform flow rates \(U\). Figures 7(a) and 7(b) show the trajectories of fluid particles in three dimensions when the centre of the sphere is in the zero-velocity plane of the background shear flow \((U=0)\), the case in the previous section; figures 7(c) and 7(d) show the trajectories with a different background flow \((U=1)\), and figure 8 is for \(U=3\) in the background shear flow.

### 4.1. Bifurcation of streamlines and stagnation points on the sphere

From (4.1), we find the analytical formulae of the stagnation points on the sphere and the fixed points in the interior of the fluid. As \(U\) varies, the curves of fixed points in the interior of the fluid deform and the location of the stagnation points on the sphere changes. The curves of the fixed points are always in the \(y-z\) plane. Figure 9 demonstrates bifurcation diagrams in the trajectories for four canonical stages and the transitions between them in the \(y=0\) symmetry plane close to the sphere, as \(U\) increases. Critical uniform flow rates \(U^*\) and \(U^{**}\) are provided in the next subsection, after the general formula of fixed points are derived.

For stage 1, figure 9(a) shows the diagram of streamlines in the symmetry plane below the sphere when \(U < U^*\). The stagnation line bounding the blocking region moves downward with the stagnation points on the sphere, as the centre of the sphere moves upwards from the zero-velocity plane of the background shear. There is no fixed point in the interior of the fluid in this symmetry plane for this stage. The fixed points in the interior of the fluid are on two curves in the \(y-z\) plane.

The transition from stage 1 to stage 2 appears when \(U = U^*\), when a cubic parabolic fixed point (a cusp point) emerges in the symmetry plane (figure 9b). In stage 2
(a) $U = 0$

(b) $U = 0$

(c) $U = 1$

(d) $U = 1$

Figure 7. Three-dimensional fluid particle trajectories either passing the sphere or being blocked by it, depending on different values of $U$ for the background shear flow.

Figure 8. Trajectories of the fluid particles starting from the initial conditions (marked by dots) for the value $U = 3$.

$(U^* < U < U^{**})$, this cubic parabolic fixed point deforms into a pair of fixed points, one elliptical fixed point and one hyperbolic fixed point, in the symmetry plane. Surrounding the elliptical fixed point, there are closed orbits in the symmetry plane plotted in figure 9(c).

The transition from stage 2 to stage 3 occurs when $U = U^{**}$. With this critical value, the stagnation lines separating the blocking region also form a separatrix distinguishing the open trajectories from the closed trajectories below the sphere. These stagnation lines cross the hyperbolic fixed point and end on the stagnation point on the sphere. Above the hyperbolic fixed point, streamlines are closed; below it, the streamlines are open and fluid particles pass the sphere from the right to the
Blocking effects of a sphere in shear flows

Figure 9. (a–f) Sketches of the bifurcation sequence below the sphere in the $\gamma = 0$ symmetry plane as $U$, the uniform flow rate, increases. The grey regions are part of the sphere. Solid dots indicate stagnation points on the sphere or fixed points in the interior of the fluid. Arrows show the direction of the flow along the trajectories.

left. There is no flow past the sphere from the left to the right below the sphere in this symmetry plane.

In stage 3 ($U^{**} < U < 8/3$) in figure 9(e), the elliptical fixed point moves towards the sphere. The stagnation lines ending on the hyperbolic fixed points are no longer separation lines of the elliptical fixed points. The closed orbits around the elliptical fixed point are above the blocking region in this symmetry plane. There are open streamlines between the elliptical fixed point and the hyperbolic point. Fluid particles along the open streamlines pass the sphere from the left to the right above the hyperbolic fixed points.
Stage 4 begins at $U = 8/3$, when the elliptic fixed point, the two stagnation points in the symmetry plane on the sphere, and two stagnation points out of the symmetry plane vanish or collapse simultaneously at the open dot shown in figure 9('). After these four stagnation points on the sphere and the elliptical fixed point in the interior of the fluid collapse, the flow structure remains the same as shown in figure 9(’), with only two stagnation points on the sphere.

A brief discussion on dynamical system theory is merited. For example, there is a criterion (Poincaré–Bendixson) which states that a two-dimensional non-divergence-free vector field may possess periodic particle trajectories only if the divergence of the flow changes sign. In the symmetry plane, we have a two-dimensional compressible flow for all values of the parameter $U$. For some values of this parameter, we just document the existence of closed orbits in the symmetry plane. A quick inspection of the divergence of the velocity field $(u(x, 0, z), w(x, 0, z))$ shows that indeed it does change sign, in agreement with the Poincaré–Bendixson criterion. Moreover, using the generalized Poincaré–Hopf index definition of Ma & Wang (2001), the index of the flow is also shown to be preserved, with the caveat that fixed points on the boundary are indexed with selected weight one-half.

### 4.2. Stagnation points and fixed points in the interior of the fluid

Next, we provide the explicit formula for the stagnation points on the sphere when its centre is out of the zero-velocity plane of the primary background shear flow. After linearizing, rescaling $\tau = t(r - 1)$ and neglecting the higher-order terms in the ODE system (4.1), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dr}{d\tau} &= 0, \\
\frac{d\theta}{d\tau} &= -\frac{3U + 8\cos\phi}{2\sin\phi} \sin\theta, \\
\frac{d\phi}{d\tau} &= \frac{3 + 3U\cos\phi + 5\cos(2\phi)}{2} \cos\theta.
\end{align*}
\]

(4.4) \hspace{1cm} (4.5) \hspace{1cm} (4.6)

Based on the condition for stagnation points on the sphere,

\[
\frac{d\theta}{d\tau} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = 0,
\]

(4.7)

the explicit formulae for stagnation points on the sphere are obtained as follows.

(i) When $0 \leq U < 8/3$, there are six solutions of (4.7)

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta &= 0, \pi \\
\phi &= \arccos\left(-\frac{3U \pm \sqrt{9U^2 + 80}}{20}\right)
\end{align*}
\]

(4.8)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta &= \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2} \\
\phi &= \arccos\left(-\frac{3U}{8}\right).
\end{align*}
\]

(4.9)
In rectangular coordinates, these six points are

\[
\left( \pm \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\sqrt{9U^2 + 80 - 3U}}{20} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}, 0, \frac{\pm \sqrt{9U^2 + 80 - 3U}}{20} \right),
\]

and

\[
\left( 0, \pm \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{3U}{8} \right)^2}, \frac{-3U}{8} \right).
\]

As \( U \to 8/3 \), four of the stagnation points at the lower part of the sphere approach each other; at \( U = 8/3 \), they collapse and disappear, and there are two stagnation points

\[
\left( \pm \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{5}, 0, \frac{1}{5} \right)
\]

left on the sphere.

(ii) When \( U \geq 8/3 \), there are only two solutions of (4.7)

\[
\theta = 0, \quad \pi, \\
\phi = \arccos \left( \frac{\sqrt{9U^2 + 80 - 3U}}{20} \right)
\]

representing two stagnation points

\[
\left( \pm \left( \frac{4}{5} + \frac{3U(\sqrt{9U^2 + 80 - 3U})}{200} \right)^{1/2}, 0, \frac{\sqrt{9U^2 + 80 - 3U}}{20} \right)
\]

on the sphere in rectangular coordinates.

Besides the stagnation points on the sphere, we find the explicit formula for all the fixed points in the interior of the fluid. As shown in the previous section, where the centre of the sphere is in the zero-velocity plane of the primary shear flow, i.e. \( U = 0 \) in the primary flow, the fixed points are on the \( y \)-axis. When \( U \neq 0 \), the curves for fixed points still only appear in the \( y-z \) plane but are no longer restricted to the \( y \)-axis. The fixed points in the interior of the fluid are found as functions of \( r \) and \( U \) from (4.2) and (4.3):

\[
x(r, U) = 0, \\
z(r, U) = -\frac{Ur^2(1 + 3r^2 - 4r^3)}{2(1 + r^2 - 2r^5)}, \\
y(r, U) = \pm \sqrt{r^2 - z^2(r, U)} = \pm \sqrt{r^2 - \left( \frac{Ur^2(1 + 3r^2 - 4r^3)}{2(1 + r^2 - 2r^5)} \right)^2}.
\]

If \( 0 < U < U^* \), the fixed points are on two curves. Each of them ends on one of the stagnation points

\[
\left( \pm \left( 1 - \left( \frac{3U + \sqrt{9U^2 + 80}}{400} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}, 0, -\frac{\sqrt{9U^2 + 80} + 3U}{20} \right)
\]
on the sphere. Far away from the sphere, these two curves are asymptotic to the line $(0, y, -U)$, which is in the zero-velocity plane of the background linear shear. On the other hand, closer to the sphere, as $U$ increases, these two distinct curves of fixed points deform and intersect at a critical value of $U = U^*$, as shown in the second column and row in figure 10. Before this critical value, a few other transitions in the graph of these curves are noteworthy. When $U \leq (16/9)\sqrt{2}$, the curves of fixed points in the $y-z$ plane can be written as functions of $y$. When $U = (16/9)\sqrt{2} < U^*$, the curve $(y(r, U), z(r, U))$ has a vertical tangent line at the stagnation point on the sphere.

The critical ratio $U^*$ is equal to

$$\frac{2(1 + s^2 - 2s^5)}{s(1 + 3s^2 - 4s^3)},$$

(4.17)
where $s$ is the smallest positive real root of the following polynomial:

$$8s^6 + 4s^5 - 4s^3 - 11s^2 - 2s - 1 = 0,$$

as derived from (4.15). At this critical ratio $U^*$, two pieces of curves join at a cusp fixed point in the symmetry plane.

As $U$ ($U^* \leq U < 8/3$) increases further, these two curves of fixed points bifurcate into two new curves. One of the new curves has no end point on the sphere, and all points on this curve are hyperbolic fixed points. The other curve is a finite-length curve whose end points are two stagnation points on the sphere. Along this finite-length curve, the fixed points change properties as follows. First, near the two stagnation points on the sphere, i.e., near the two end points of the curve of fixed points, the curve consists of hyperbolic fixed points. Second, a little further down the curve, at a critical position these points become two degenerate fixed points with three vanishing eigenvalues. Finally, moving still further away from the sphere and close to
the symmetry $x-z$ plane, the points on this curve become elliptical fixed points and no further transition is observed through the symmetry plane. These properties are determined by the sign of the following function:

$$F(r, U) = 2[1 + r + 2r^2(1 + r + r^2)]^3 + U^2r^4(5 + 3r + 24r^2 - 48r^3 - 84r^4 - 60r^5 - 44r^6 + 36r^7 + 24r^8)$$

and (4.15). This function, $F(r, U)$, is derived through a standard eigenanalysis. For given $U$ and $r$, if $F(r, U) > 0$, the corresponding point $(x, y, z)$ from (4.15) is a hyperbolic fixed point. If $F(r, U) < 0$, and the point $(x, y, z)$ satisfying (4.15) is an elliptical fixed point. Otherwise, when $F(r, U) = 0$, the point of (4.15) is a higher-order fixed point with all three eigenvalues being zero.

When $U = 8/3$, the finite curve shrinks into a point and collapses with the four stagnation points on the sphere, and all the fixed points in the interior of the fluid are now on the infinite-length curve. After $U \geq 8/3$, fixed points in the interior of the fluid are always on such a curve.

The quantified streamline plots shown in figures 10 and 11 document the qualitative bifurcation sketches in figure 9. In figure 10, we show fixed point curves in the flow and the flow structure in the $x-z$ symmetry plane with given $U$. Notice that the fixed points are plotted in the $y-z$ plane, since the fixed points in the fluid are only in the $y-z$ plane. In figures 10 and 11, the first column shows the different values of $U$ studied, and the second column shows plots for curves of fixed points from (4.15) (the grey area indicates the sphere). The third column shows streamline patterns obtained numerically in the $x-z$ symmetry plane, i.e. the lateral view.

The first value $U = (16/9)^{1/2} < U^*$ is picked corresponding to stage 1 in the bifurcation sketches of figure 9. In this case, as shown in the second column, fixed points are on two infinite-length curves, each of whose end point is a stagnation point on the sphere. With this special value, the curves of fixed points in the $y-z$ plane have infinite slope at the stagnation point. With the second value $U = 2.64837 \approx U^*$, a cubic parabolic (cusp) fixed point appears. In the third row $U^* < U = 2.64912 < U^{**}$, the fixed points in the fluid are on two new curves. Both end points of the finite length curve are stagnation points on the sphere, and the curve with infinite length is asymptotic to a line in the zero-velocity plane of the background shear flow. With the fourth value $U = 2.65059 \approx U^{**}$ in figure 11, the fixed points in the interior of the fluid are still on two curves. The stagnation lines in the streamline plot in the symmetry plane connect the hyperbolic fixed point in the fluid with the stagnation points on the sphere, and separate closed orbits around the elliptical fixed point from the open trajectories. For $U^{**}$, we do not have an explicit formula for this value and use the numerical approximation 2.65059. For the fifth value $U^{**} < U = 2.65287 < 8/3$, the elliptical fixed points moves up as $U$ increases, and there are fluid particles which pass the sphere from the left to the right between the elliptical fixed point and the hyperbolic fixed point. The last case $U = 8/3$ shows that fixed points are on one curve in the interior of the fluid.

These numerical results clearly show the existence of the blocking regions when the sphere is out of the zero-velocity plane of the primary shear flow. The streamline plots in the $y=0$ plane show the interesting bifurcation appearing in the fluid particle trajectories.

When $U^* < U < 8/3$, streamlines show a 3D eddy near the elliptical fixed points below the sphere. Figure 12 shows the circulation near the elliptical fixed points below.
the sphere when $U = 2.6514$. Figure 12(a) is the front view of fluid particle trajectories and figure 12(b) is their lateral view. From figure 12(b), it is clear that these are closed trajectories circulating around the elliptical fixed points on the finite-length fixed point curve in and out of the symmetry plane. From the front view in Figures 12(a) and 13(a), we see that trajectories are further deformed when they are close to the surface of the sphere and approaching the hyperbolic fixed point on the finite-length fixed point curve.
Figures 13 and 14 show streamlines in the $y < 0$ half-space below the sphere. In figure 13, the streamlines are only closed orbits near the fixed points on the finite-length curve (here we take $U = 2.6514$). Figure 13(a) is the front view, figure 13(b) is the lateral view, and figure 13(c) is the 3D view. These orbits are selected so that they are around the elliptical points, but near the sphere they are close to the hyperbolic fixed points on the finite-length fixed point curve. Figure 14 shows both closed and open streamlines near the fixed points.

5. A sphere embedded in rotating flows

To further explore similar phenomena, we next consider the flow with a sphere embedded in rotating flows. The centre of the sphere is set at the origin of the coordinate system. The background flow is a rigid-body rotation in the $x$–$y$ plane with the rotation axis parallel to the $z$-axis and translated a fixed distance $L$ from the origin. In this case, the $x$–$y$ plane is the symmetry plane of the flow. The planar linear shear case with the sphere centre at some distance off the zero-velocity plane may be viewed as an extreme case of this rotating background flow in that at large distances $L$, the curvature of rigid-body rotation streamlines over regions of sphere radius scales becomes negligible. However, there are important differences with respect to the planar case in the interpretation of blocking regions for the case of a rotating background flow past a sphere, as in this case the definition of blocking itself becomes fundamentally different. We will study the case when the sphere is fixed in the rotating flow first and then allow the sphere to additionally self-rotate. For a sphere self-rotating in the rotating background flow, we report results about the flow in cases when the sphere may freely self-rotate and the cases when the sphere self-rotates at a prescribed angular velocity. The rotation axis of the self-rotation of the sphere is the $z$-axis. For a sphere embedded in such rotating flows, we will next find the explicit fluid particle trajectories from the exact velocity field and document the analytical formula for stagnation points on the sphere and the fixed points in the interior of the fluid.

5.1. A fixed sphere in the rotating flow

When the unit sphere is embedded in purely rotating flows, the background flow can be decomposed into a uniform flow plus two linear shear flows in rectangular coordinates, whose origin is the centre of the sphere. Assuming that the non-dimensional angular velocity of the rotation is $(0, 0, 1)$ and the distance between the rotation axis and the origin is $L \geq 0$, the background flow is $ye_x - (x + L)e_y$. When the sphere is fixed,
with no-slip boundary condition on the surface of the sphere, the velocity of the flow can be obtained from (2.4),

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(x, y, z) &= \left( y - \frac{y}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{3Lxy}{4(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{3Lxy}{4(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{5/2}} \right), \\
    v(x, y, z) &= -L - x + \left( \frac{3}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} + \frac{1 + 3y^2}{3Lyz} - \frac{3y^2}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} \right), \\
    w(x, y, z) &= -\frac{L}{4} + \frac{1}{4(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{3Lyz}{4(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}.
\end{align*}
\] (5.1)

Similar to the planar shear past a sphere, we calculate the fluid particle trajectories for this velocity field by obtaining explicit formulae in terms of \( r \),

\[
\begin{align*}
    x &= \frac{r^2(5 + 4r)}{8L(1 - r)} + \frac{r^2(27\sqrt{2}\log(2\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{2(1 + 2r)}) - 8C_1)}{16L(1 - r)\sqrt{r(1 + 2r)}}, \\
    z^2 &= \frac{C_2r^3}{(r - 1)^2(1 + 2r)}, \\
    y^2 &= r^2 - x^2 - z^2.
\end{align*}
\] (5.2, 5.3, 5.4)

where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are constants determined by the initial position of the fluid particle.

To present the structure of the flow, we plot fluid particle trajectories with different values of \( L \) in the \( x-y \) symmetry plane. When \( L = 0 \), trajectories are shown in figure 15(a). In this case, it is clear from the velocity field (5.1) that there is no motion in the \( z \)-direction and all velocity components vanish along the \( z \)-axis \( (x = y = 0) \). When \( 0 < L < 2 \), trajectories are illustrated in figure 15(b) with a specified value \( L = 1 \). There are two stagnation points on the sphere and they are in the \( x-z \) plane, shown in figure 17(b), where we show the stagnation points on the sphere. When \( L = 2 \), trajectories are shown in figure 15(c). There is one stagnation point on the sphere at \( (-1, 0, 0) \). When \( L > 2 \), trajectories are plotted in figure 15(d) with \( L = 3 \). There are two stagnation points on the sphere. Figure 16 is the 3D view of figure 15(d).

As figures 15 and 16 implied, the stagnation points on the sphere depend on the distance \( L \). For a unit sphere, there are two stagnation points \((-L/2, 0, \sqrt{4 - L^2}/2)\) and \((-L/2, 0, \sqrt{4 - L^2}/2)\) on the sphere if \( 0 \leq L < 2 \). When \( L = 2 \), there is only one stagnation point \((-1, 0, 0)\) on the sphere. When \( L > 2 \), there are still two stagnation points on the sphere at \((-2/L, (\sqrt{L^2 - 4}/L), 0)\) and \((-2/L, -(\sqrt{L^2 - 4}/L), 0)\), but they are in the \( x-y \) symmetry plane instead of the \( x-z \) plane for \( L < 2 \).

Furthermore, we get the formula for fixed points in the interior of the fluid, which are only in the \( x-z \) plane. The implicit formula for fixed points in the fluid is

\[
\frac{L + 4x}{4(x^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} = L + x - \frac{3L}{4\sqrt{x^2 + z^2}}.
\] (5.5)
In parametric formulae, they are

\[ x = -\frac{L}{4} \frac{1 + s + 4s^2}{1 + s + s^2}, \tag{5.6} \]

\[ z = \pm \sqrt{\frac{16s^2(1 + s + s^2)^2 + L^2(1 + s + 4s^2)^2}{4(1 + s + s^2)}}, \tag{5.7} \]

where \(|s| \geq 1\). When \(L \leq 2\), fixed points in the interior of the fluid connect to the two stagnation points \((-L/2, 0, \sqrt{4 - L^2}/2)\) and \((-L/2, 0, \sqrt{4 - L^2}/2)\) on the sphere as shown in figures 17(a) and 17(b). Solid dots on the sphere are stagnation points in figure 17. Since fixed points in the fluid are only in the \(x-z\) plane, plots in figure 17 are restricted to the \(x-z\) plane. The solid curve in figure 17(a) shows the location of fixed points when \(L = 0\). Figure 17(b) shows the location of fixed points when \(L = 1\). For the degenerate case \(L = 2\) shown in figure 17(c), the curve connects to the unique stagnation point \((-1, 0, 0)\) on the sphere. Otherwise, for \(L > 2\), as in figure 17(d) \((L = 3)\), fixed points are on a curve that is still in the \(x-z\) plane but is away from the sphere. All the curves are asymptotic to the rotation axis of the background flow as \(|z| \rightarrow \infty\). Notice the analogy of these curves of fixed points with those identified off
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Figure 16. 3D view of trajectories in the $x$–$y$ symmetry plane when $L > 2$.

Figure 17. (a–d) Fixed points in the fluid in the $x$–$z$ plane when a fixed sphere is embedded in a rotating background flow. $L$ is the distance from the rotation axis of the background flow to the centre of the unit sphere.
the \( x-z \) plane by the analysis of the linear planar shear case in §4. The force acting on the fixed sphere is \( F = -6\pi\mu Le_y \), and the torque at the origin is \( T = -8\pi\mu e_y \) in dimensionless formuale.

5.2. A self-rotating sphere in the rotating flow

If the sphere self-rotates in the rotating background flow, imposing the no-slip boundary condition on the rotating sphere requires the velocity on the surface of the sphere to be \( u' = -\gamma ye_x + \gamma xe_y \). For the special torque-free case involving a sphere freely self-rotating at the origin, the angular velocity of the self-rotation is set to \( \gamma = -1 \), as the calculation shows. The exact velocity field is

\[
\begin{align*}
  u(x, y, z) &= y + \frac{3Lxy}{4(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{3Lxy}{4(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{(y + 1)y}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}, \\
  v(x, y, z) &= -L - x + \frac{3L}{4\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} + \frac{4x(y + 1) + L(1 + 3y^2)}{4(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} \frac{3L^2}{4(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{5/2}}, \\
  w(x, y, z) &= \frac{3Ly}{4(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{3Lyz}{4(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{5/2}}.
\end{align*}
\]

And the equation of fluid particles is the following parametric system:

\[
\begin{align*}
  x &= \frac{r^3}{2L\sqrt{(r-1)^2r^3(1+2r)}} \left\{ -\frac{1}{24\sqrt{(1+2r)}} \left[ 64\gamma \sqrt{3(1+2r)}\arctanh \left( \sqrt{\frac{3r}{1+2r}} \right) \right. \right. \\
  &\quad + 3(2\sqrt{r}(5+14r+8r^2)+27\sqrt{2(1+2r)}\log(2\sqrt{r}+\sqrt{2(1+2r)})) \right\} - C_1, \quad (5.11) \\
  z^2 &= \frac{r^3}{C_2(r-1)^2(1+2r)}, \quad (5.12) \\
  y^2 &= r^2 - x^2 - z^2, \quad (5.13)
\end{align*}
\]

where constants \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) depend on initial values.

Fixed points in the interior of the fluid are

\[
\begin{align*}
  x &= \frac{L}{4(\gamma + 1 - r^2)}(4r^3 - 3r^2 - 1), \\
  z^2 &= r^2 - x^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(5.14)

The slope of the fixed-point curve as depicted in figure 18 is \( 2\gamma/3L \) at \( (0, 0, 1) \), the top of the sphere. For the degenerate cases \( L = 0 \) and \( \gamma > -1 \), the velocity vanishes not only on the curve prescribed by (5.14) in the \( x-z \) plane but also on the shell \( x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = (1+\gamma)^2/3 \). For the special case \( \gamma = 0 \) (with the sphere fixed), the location of stagnation points on the sphere depends on \( L \) as shown in figure 17. Figure 18 shows the fixed points in the \( x-z \) plane for different values of \( L \) when the sphere is freely self-rotating in the rotating background flow.

Figure 19 shows the fluid particle trajectories of the freely self-rotating case in the \( x-y \) symmetry plane for different values of \( L \). Figure 20 shows trajectories of fluid particles out of the \( x-y \) symmetry plane, when \( L = 4 \) and the sphere is freely rotating.

When the orientation of the sphere self-rotation is opposite and equal to that of the background flow, figure 21 shows fixed points in the \( x-z \) plane and figure 22 shows
the trajectories of fluid particles in the $x$-$y$ symmetry plane with different values of $L$. Figure 23 shows the structure of the fluid particle trajectories out of the symmetry plane when $L = 3$.

A comparison between these figures shows that the location of the hyperbolic fixed point may be positioned either on the left or on the right side of the sphere, depending upon the parameters.

6. Conclusion and discussion

We have presented an analysis of the fluid particle trajectories for linear shear and rotating flows past a rigid sphere or spheroid in viscosity-dominated regimes. For the symmetric case of a fixed sphere with its centre located on the zero-velocity plane of the linear shear, closed-form expressions provide a complete characterization of the blocking regions. When the sphere centre moves at some distance off this plane, local bifurcations occur in the distance parameter which are analysed analytically, with
Figure 19. (a–d) Trajectories in the $x$–$y$ symmetry plane with a freely-rotating sphere.

Figure 20. Trajectories out of the $x$–$y$ symmetry plane when the sphere is freely rotating and $L = 4$.

Numerical computations showing the persistence and deformations of the blocking regions associated with global bifurcations. For the case involving a background flow past a sphere in rigid-body rotation around a vertical axis, exact expressions for the fluid particle trajectories were presented in all cases. These include the case of a fixed-centre sphere off the axis of the background flow rotation with or without arbitrarily imposed vertical spinning, which may be of importance for studies of
mixing processes. This case is reminiscent of that occurring in the linear background shear flow for large distances off the sphere centre from the zero-velocity plane. Lastly, we have analysed how some of these phenomena are altered when spheres are deformed into prolate spheroids. This introduces an additional orientation to the fluid–body interaction set-up which makes its parametric study much richer. We have concentrated on a subset of this parameter space whence the major spheroid axis lies on the x–z plane. A new behaviour of the fluid flow has emerged in this study, which does not have a counterpart in the spherically symmetric case, namely fluid particle trajectories may be attracted or repelled out of the x–z plane, in positive and negative suction-like patterns. The associated blocking phenomena documented in Appendix A for spheroids are further expected to improve the understanding of the unusual fluid particle trajectories reported by Camassa, Leiterman & McLaughlin (2008) and more
generally to understand the flow induced by the motion of cilia in biological systems, such as those examined by Bouzarth et al. (2007).

Of particular interest is the behaviour and analysis of the cross-sectional scales of the blocked region. For the case of a fixed sphere centred on the zero-velocity plane, asymptotic estimates were provided which document that this blocking cross-section diverges at large distances from the sphere. We believe that this is the generic behaviour for Stokes’ parallel shear flows in exterior domains past rigid bodies. Naturally, this behaviour is somewhat unphysical and should be viewed as yet another form of the Stokes paradox, although in the case of shear emerges in a milder form than e.g. the infinite volume dragged by a uniformly moving sphere. Future studies will investigate the inertial corrections for this unphysical behaviour using matched asymptotics along the lines of the Oseen theory. Such an analysis was
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performed by Bretherton (1962) for the special two-dimensional case involving a disk, who showed that logarithmic divergence of the stagnation streamlines bounding the blocked region is suppressed by the inertial terms, making the streamlines settle upon finite height asymptote at infinity. A similar analysis appears to be more involved for the case of a sphere and to our knowledge has never been done. Additional worthy investigations along these lines include assessing behaviours arising from a temporally fluctuating shear flow and/or sphere location as well those associated with more complex rheologies. Finally, the presence of realistic boundary conditions on the exterior fluid domain should be addressed to compare with experiments such as those reported by Poe & Acrivos (1975).
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Appendix A. Cross-sectional blocking area asymptotics

In this Appendix, we provide the details about the decay rate of the integrand used to compute the cross-sectional area of the blocking region. When the integrand decays fast enough, the integral is convergent, which implies that the cross-sectional area of the blocked region is finite. Otherwise, the integral is divergent and the cross-sectional area is infinite.

The closed integral form trajectory equations (3.32) and (3.33) of the fluid particles are

\[
y = y_0 \left( \frac{1 - \frac{1}{r}}{1 - \frac{1}{y_0}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\frac{3}{2} y_0^3 + \frac{3}{2} y_0^2 + \frac{2}{2} y_0 + 1}{\frac{3}{2} y_0^3 + \frac{3}{2} y_0^2 + \frac{2}{2} y_0 + 1} \right)^{1/3}, \quad (A 1)
\]

\[
z^2 = \frac{1}{\left( 1 + \frac{3}{2r^3} - \frac{5}{2r^3} \right)^{2/3}} \int_{1/r}^{1/y_0} \frac{(s + 1)(1 - s)^{1/3}}{\left( \frac{3}{2}s^3 + 3s^2 + 2s + 1 \right)^{1/3}} ds. \quad (A 2)
\]

Substituting \( y \) and \( z \) into the original ODE system used to derive the trajectory equations, we have

\[
\frac{dy}{dr} = \frac{5 \left( \frac{1}{r} + 1 \right)}{(1 - r)(3 + 6r + 4r^2 + 2r^3)} \left( \frac{1 - \frac{1}{y_0}}{1 - \frac{1}{r}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\frac{3}{2} y_0^3 + \frac{3}{2} y_0^2 + \frac{2}{2} y_0 + 1}{\frac{3}{2} y_0^3 + \frac{3}{2} y_0^2 + \frac{2}{2} y_0 + 1} \right)^{1/3}, \quad (A 3)
\]
\[
\frac{dz}{dr} = \frac{(1 + r) \left( \frac{5}{r^2} z^2 - 1 \right)}{3 + 3r - 2r^2 - 2r^3 - 2r^4 \frac{1}{z}}
\]

\[
(1 + r)r \left( \frac{5}{r^2} \left( \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{r^3} - \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{r^3} + 1 \right) \right)^{2/3} \int_{1/r}^{1/y_0} \left( \frac{s + 1}{\left( \frac{3}{2} s^3 + 3s^2 + 2s + 1 \right)^{1/3}} \right) ds = \frac{3 + 3r - 2r^2 - 2r^3 - 2r^4}{1/2} \left( \frac{1}{\left( \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{r^3} - \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{r^3} + 1 \right)^{2/3}} \right) \int_{1/r}^{1/y_0} \left( \frac{s + 1}{\left( \frac{3}{2} s^3 + 3s^2 + 2s + 1 \right)^{1/3}} \right) ds
\]

(A 4)

All these four equations are in terms of \( r \) and \( y_0 \).

If \( x = x_0 \), from \( x^2 = r^2 - y^2 - z^2 \), we derive the following constraint:

\[
\left(1 - \frac{5}{2r^3} + \frac{3}{2r^5}\right)^{2/3} (r^2 - x_0^2) = \int_{1/r}^{1/y_0} \left( \frac{s + 1}{\left( \frac{3}{2} s^3 + 3s^2 + 2s + 1 \right)^{1/3}} \right) ds + \frac{y_0^2}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{5}{2y_0^3} + \frac{3}{2y_0^5}\right)^{2/3} \left( \frac{s + 1}{\left( \frac{3}{2} s^3 + 3s^2 + 2s + 1 \right)^{1/3}} \right) ds.
\]

(A 5)

Taking implicit differentiation, we have

\[
\frac{dr}{dy_0} = \frac{2y_0^5 - y_0^3 - 1}{y_0^4 \left(1 - \frac{5}{2r^3} + \frac{3}{2r^5}\right)^{2/3} \left(1 - \frac{5}{2y_0^3} + \frac{3}{2y_0^5}\right)^{1/3}} \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2} (1 + \frac{1}{r}) \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} \right) \left(1 - \frac{5}{2r^3} + \frac{3}{2r^5}\right) + \frac{10y_0^2(1 + r)}{r(r - 1)(3 + 6r + 4r^2 + 2r^3)} \left( \frac{1 + \frac{3}{2y_0^3} - \frac{5}{2y_0^5}}{1 - \frac{5}{2r^3} + \frac{3}{2r^5}} \right)^{2/3} \right) + 2r - \frac{5(1 - r^2)}{r^6 \left(1 - \frac{5}{2r^3} + \frac{3}{2r^5}\right)^{5/3} \left( \frac{s + 1}{\left( \frac{3}{2} s^3 + 3s^2 + 2s + 1 \right)^{1/3}} \right) ds}.
\]

(A 6)

Also, from the trajectory equation, we get

\[
\frac{\partial y}{\partial y_0} = -\frac{(y_0^5 - 1)}{y_0^5 \left(1 - \frac{5}{2r^3} + \frac{3}{2r^5}\right)^{1/3} \left(1 - \frac{5}{2y_0^3} + \frac{3}{2y_0^5}\right)^{2/3}}.
\]

(A 7)
\[
\frac{\partial z(r, y_0)}{\partial y_0} = -\frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{y_0}\right)\left(1 + \frac{1}{y_0}\right)}{2y_0^2\left(\int_{1/r}^{1/y_0} (s + 1)(1 - s)^{1/3} \left(\frac{3}{2}s^3 + 3s^2 + 2s + 1\right)^{1/3} \, ds\right)^{1/2}}
\]

\[
\times \left(1 - \frac{5}{2y_0^3} + \frac{3}{2y_0^5}\right)^{-1/3} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2y_0^2} - \frac{5}{2y_0^4}\right)^{1/3}.
\]

(A 8)

Now all the components involved in the integral in §3.5 are in terms of \(r\) and \(y_0\). If \(a = (0, z)\), then

\[
a \cdot n = \frac{\frac{dy}{dr} \, dy}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{dz}{dr}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dy}{dr}\right)^2}} \, ds = \sqrt{\left(\frac{dz}{dr}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dy}{dy_0}\frac{dy}{dr}\right)^2} \, dr,
\]

(A 9)

or

\[
a \cdot n = \frac{\frac{dy}{dy_0}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{dz}{dy_0}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dy}{dy_0}\right)^2}} \, ds = \sqrt{\left(\frac{dz}{dy_0}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dy}{dy_0}\right)^2} \, dy_0.
\]

(A 10)

Note the area of the 2D cross-section as \(I\). By the divergence theorem, the area of the 2D cross-section of the blocking region is

\[
\frac{I}{4} = \int_\Omega dA = \int_\Omega \text{div} \, a \, dA = \int_{\partial \Omega} a \cdot n \, ds = \int_1^\infty \frac{dy}{dy_0} \frac{dy}{dr} \frac{dy}{dy_0} \, dy_0.
\]

(A 11)

We will analyse the integrand involved in the cross-sectional area of the blocking region,

\[
z \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial y_0} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial y_0}\right),
\]

(A 12)

to study the property of the cross-sectional area of the blocking region.

Substituting (A 1)–(A 8) into the above integrand, we eventually write it as a function of \(r\) and \(y_0\),

\[
z \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial y_0} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial y_0}\right) = \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{5}{2r^3} + \frac{3}{2r^5}\right)^{2/3}} \left(\int_{1/r}^{1/y_0} (1 + s)(1 - s)^{1/3} \left(\frac{3}{2}s^3 + 3s^2 + 2s + 1\right)^{1/3} \, ds\right)^{1/2}
\]
If the region depends on $z$, the integrand decays as $y_0(1 - r)(3 + 6r + 4r^2 + 2r^3) \left(1 - \frac{5}{2r^3} + \frac{3}{2r^5}\right)^{2/3}$.

$$\int_{1/r}^{1/y_0} \frac{(s + 1)(1 - s)^{1/3}}{\left(\frac{3}{2}s^3 + 3s^2 + 2s + 1\right)^{1/3}} ds \right\}
\times \left\{ \frac{5(1 - r^2)}{r^6 \left(1 - \frac{5}{2r^3} + \frac{3}{2r^5}\right)^{5/3} \left(\frac{3}{2}s^3 + 3s^2 + 2s + 1\right)} \right\}.
\tag{A13}
$$

If $x_0$ is a fixed finite number, from the constraint (A 5), we get the asymptotic solution $r \sim \sqrt{y_0^2 + x_0^2}$. Substitute this solution into the above equation. The integrand

$$z \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial y_0} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial y_0}\right) \sim \frac{x_0}{\sqrt{2y_0^3}} \text{ as } y_0 \to \infty.
\tag{A14}
$$

At a finite distance $|x_0|$ from the sphere, the cross-sectional area of the blocking region depends on $x_0$ and is finite.

If the cross-section is taken at infinite $x_0 = \infty$, then

$$y \sim y_0 \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{y_0}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{3}{2y_0^3} + \frac{3}{2y_0^5}\right)\right)^{1/3},
\tag{A15}
$$

$$z^2 \sim \int_0^{1/y_0} \frac{(s + 1)(1 - s)^{1/3}}{\left(\frac{3}{2}s^3 + 3s^2 + 2s + 1\right)^{1/3}} ds.
\tag{A16}
$$

The cross-sectional area $I$ is computed as

$$\frac{I}{4} = \int_\Omega dA = \int_\Omega \text{div} \, a \, dA = \int_{\partial \Omega} a \cdot n \, ds = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dy}{dy_0} \, dy_0.
\tag{A17}
$$

The integrand decays as

$$z \frac{dy}{dy_0} \sim \left(\int_0^{1/y_0} \frac{(s + 1)(1 - s)^{1/3}}{\left(\frac{3}{2}s^3 + 3s^2 + 2s + 1\right)^{1/3}} ds\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{2^{2/3}(y_0^5 - 1)}{\left(\frac{3}{2}y_0^5 + 2y_0^5\right)^{2/3}}\right)\left(\frac{y_0^5}{y_0^5 + 3 - 5y_0^5 + 2y_0^5}\right)^{2/3}
\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{y_0}} + \frac{5}{3} \left(\frac{1}{y_0}\right)^{7/2} \text{ as } y_0 \to \infty.
\tag{A18}
$$

With such a decay rate, the integral is divergent. Far enough from the sphere, the cross-sectional area is infinite.

To understand the growth of the area, we assume $x_0 = y_0^\epsilon$ ($\epsilon > 0$), then from the constraint (A 5) we get the asymptotic solution $r \sim \sqrt{y_0^2 + y_0^2 x_0^2}$. Substituting this
solution into the integrand (A 13) and computing the asymptotic as \( y_0 \to \infty \), we have the following.

(i) When \( 0 < \epsilon < 1 \), as \( y_0 \to \infty \), the leading order of the integrand (A 13) is

\[
 z \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial y_0} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial y_0} \right) \sim -\frac{y_0^{-(3/2)+\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{as} \quad y_0 \to \infty. \tag{A 19}
\]

As \( y_0 \to \infty \), the integrand decays like \( y_0^{-(3/2)+\epsilon} \). Consequently, when \( 0 < \epsilon < 1/2 \), the integral is convergent. When \( \epsilon \geq 1/2 \), the integral is divergent.

(ii) When \( \epsilon \geq 1 \), as \( y_0 \to \infty \), the leading order of the integrand (A 13) is

\[
 z \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial y_0} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial y_0} \right) \sim -\frac{3}{2\sqrt{y_0}} \quad \text{as} \quad y_0 \to \infty. \tag{A 20}
\]

In this case, the cross-sectional area of the blocking region is infinite.

**Appendix B. Shear flow past a spheroid**

In this Appendix, we focus on the flow structure and blocking phenomena for a prolate spheroid embedded in a linear shear flow. With respect to the spherical case, the spheroid orientation relative to the background shear enriches the phenomena, some of which will be examined here. The literature on this set-up has concentrated mostly on forces and torques (Blaser 2002), while some experimental investigations of three-dimensional separation structures for flows past spheroids can be found in the high Reynolds regime (Wang *et al.* 1990).

First, we report results about the shear flow \( \Omega z e_x \) when the spheroid sits with its centre on the zero-velocity plane and its major axis upright (as shown in figure 24),

\[
 \frac{x^2}{b^2} + \frac{y^2}{a^2} + \frac{z^2}{c^2} = 1, \tag{B 1}
\]

where \( a > b \) are the major and minor semi-axes, respectively, and the half focal length \( c \) and the eccentricity \( e \) are defined as \( c = (a^2 - b^2)^{1/2} = ea \). From Chwang & Wu (1975), the exact velocity field in this case is

\[
 u(x) = \Omega z e_x - \int_{-c}^{c} (c^2 - \xi^2)(\alpha U_{SS}(x - \xi; e_z, e_x) + \gamma U_R(x - \xi; e_y)) \, d\xi \\
 - \beta \int_{-c}^{c} (c^2 - \xi^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} U_D(x - \xi; e_x) \, d\xi, \tag{B 2}
\]
where \( \mathbf{U}_{SS} \), \( \mathbf{U}_R \) and \( \mathbf{U}_D \) are the fundamental singularities of stresslet, rotlet and doublelet, located at \( \xi \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{U}_{SS}(x - \xi; e_z, e_x) &= \frac{3((x - \xi) \cdot e_x)((x - \xi) \cdot e_z)}{R^5}(x - \xi), \\
\mathbf{U}_R(x - \xi, e_y) &= \frac{(x - \xi) \times e_y}{R^3}, \\
\mathbf{U}_D(x - \xi, e_x) &= -\frac{e_x}{R^3} + \frac{3((x - \xi) \cdot e_x)}{R^5}(x - \xi),
\end{align*}
\]

with \( R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z - \xi)^2} \), and \( \xi = \xi e_z \). Here, \( \alpha \), \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are known constants,

\[
\alpha = \beta \frac{4e^2}{1 - e^2} = \gamma e^2 - \frac{2e + (1 - e^2)L_e}{2e(2e^2 - 3) + 3(1 - e^2)L_e}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\Omega}{-2e + (1 + e^2)L_e},
\]

and \( L_e = \log((1 + e)/(1 - e)) \). Numerical results show the existence of blocked regions in the flow, as shown in figure 25, where the streamlines are plotted in the \( y = 0 \) symmetry plane.

**B.1. Stagnation points on the spheroid**

From the velocity field (B 2), we find the stagnation points on the spheroid. As before for the spherical case, we first rewrite the velocity field in prolate spherical coordinates

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
x = a e \sinh(\mu) \sin(v) \cos(\phi), \\
y = a e \sinh(\mu) \sin(v) \sin(\phi), \\
z = a e \cosh(\mu) \cos(v),
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \text{arccosh}(1/e) \leq \mu \leq \infty \), \( 0 \leq v \leq \pi \) and \( -\pi \leq \phi < \pi \) (more details about the explicit velocity field are provided by Zhao 2010). Next, we rescale the time \( \tau = (\mu - \text{arccosh}(1/e)) t \) and expand the velocity field near the no-slip boundary of the spheroid where \( \mu = \text{arccosh}(1/e) \), ignoring higher-order terms of order \( (\mu - \text{arccosh}(1/e))^2 \). The linearized ODE system for the trajectory of fluid particle
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near the surface of the spheroid reduces to

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\mu}{d\tau} &= 0, \\
\frac{dv}{d\tau} &= 4 \omega e^3 \frac{k(e) \cos(\phi)}{d(e) e^2 + e^2 \cos(2\nu) - 2}, \\
\frac{d\phi}{d\tau} &= 4 \omega e^3 \frac{[2e(3 - e^2) + (e^4 + 2e^2 - 3)L_e] \cot(\nu) \sin(\phi)}{1 - e^2},
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
d(e) \equiv 12e^2 - 8e^4 + 4e(e^4 + e^2 - 3)L_e - 3(e^4 - 1)L_e^2 \tag{B 9}
\]

and

\[
k(e) \equiv 2e(6 - e^2) + (e^4 + 3e^2 - 6)L_e + e^2 \cos(2\nu)((1 + e^2)L_e - 2e). \tag{B 10}
\]

The conditions for stagnation points on the boundary,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\mu}{d\tau} &= 0, \quad \frac{dv}{d\tau} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = 0, \tag{B 11}
\end{align*}
\]

define six stagnation points on the upright prolate spheroid in the prolate spherical coordinates

\[
a \left( \arccosh(1/e), \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi \right), \quad a \left( \arccosh(1/e), \frac{3\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right), \tag{B 12}
\]

\[
a \left( \arccosh(1/e), 0, \arccos \left( \pm \left( \frac{6e - (3 - e^2)L_e}{2e^3 - e^2(1 + e^2)L_e} \right)^{1/2} \right) \right), \tag{B 13}
\]

and

\[
a \left( \arccosh(1/e), \pi, \arccos \left( \pm \left( \frac{6e - (3 - e^2)L_e}{2e^3 - e^2(1 + e^2)L_e} \right)^{1/2} \right) \right). \tag{B 14}
\]

In the original rectangular coordinates, the latter stagnation points lie in the \( y = 0 \) symmetry plane

\[
a \left( \pm \sqrt{1 - e^2} \left( 1 - \frac{6e - (3 - e^2)L_e}{2e^3 - e^2(1 + e^2)L_e} \right)^{1/2}, 0, \pm \left( \frac{6e - (3 - e^2)L_e}{2e^3 - e^2(1 + e^2)L_e} \right)^{1/2} \right), \tag{B 15}
\]

while the other two are on the \( y \)-axis at \( (0, \pm a\sqrt{1 - e^2}, 0) \).

The location of the stagnation points in the \( y = 0 \) symmetry plane migrates towards the spheroid ‘tips’ with increasing eccentricity \( e \) at fixed \( a \). The four points merge into two at the tips in the ‘needle’ limit of eccentricity \( e \to 1 \), while for the opposite limit of a sphere \( (e \to 0) \) we retrieve the previous result (3.25). Just like for the spherical case, the zero-velocity plane of the background shear flow collapses to just the \( y \)-axis for the upright spheroid whose centre is on this plane.

\section*{B.2. Characterization of stagnation streamlines on the surface of the spheroid}

As for the case of the sphere, the rescaled velocity field provides an imprint of the particle trajectory pattern just off the spheroid surface which mathematically reduces to heteroclinic connections between the stagnation points (see figure 26).
Figure 26. (a–c) ‘Footprint’ of stagnation surfaces on the spheroid surface from different viewpoints.

From the rescaled velocity field ODE (B 8), we get

$$\frac{dv}{d\phi} = \frac{(1 - e^2)k(e) \cot(\phi) \tan(v)}{(e^2 \cos(2v) + e^2 - 2)[2e(3 - e^2) + (e^4 + 2e^2 - 3)L_e]},$$

(B 16)

whose solution is

$$\sin(\phi) \sin(v) = C(k(e))^{p(e)},$$

(B 17)

where the constant $C$ is determined by the initial conditions, and the constant $p(e)$ is

$$p(e) \equiv \frac{2e + (e^2 - 1)L_e}{(1 - e^2)((1 + e^2)L_e - 2e)}.$$  

(B 18)

Substituting the stagnation points yields the trajectories

$$x^2 = (1 - e^2)(a^2 - z^2) - y^2 = a^2(1 - e^2) \sin^2(v) - y^2,$$

(B 19)

$$y = a \sqrt{1 - e^2} \sin(v) \sin(\phi) = a \sqrt{1 - e^2} C(k(e))^{p(e)},$$

(B 20)

$$z = a \cos(v),$$

(B 21)

in the first octant ($x, y, z$ positive), which can be extended by the symmetry of the flow to the whole space.

B.3. Linear shear flow past a tilted spheroid

When the major axis of the spheroid is tilted with an angle $\kappa$, defined as the angle between the positive $z$-axis and the major axis of the spheroid in the $x$–$z$ plane (see figures 27a and 28a), the $y=0$ plane is still a symmetry plane of the flow but the up-down symmetry of the fluid–body set-up is broken. The tilted prolate spheroid is

$$\frac{(x \cos(\kappa) - z \sin(\kappa))^2 + y^2}{b^2} + \frac{(x \sin(\kappa) + z \cos(\kappa))^2}{a^2} = 1 \quad (a > b > 0).$$

(B 22)

(The previous case is recovered for $\kappa = 0$.)

The solution of Stokes equations for this tilted case can be efficiently obtained in the body frame from the general theory of Chwang & Wu (1975) and Jeffery (1922). In this frame, the spheroid’s major axis is on the $x$-axis, and the background flow can be decomposed into two shear flows $x e_y$ and $y e_x$ and an elongational flow $x e_x - y e_y$. For each of these shear flows, the velocity field is given by changing coordinates
of (B 2) for the first one, and

\[ u(x) = ye_x + \int_{-c}^{c} (c^2 - \xi^2)(\alpha_3 U_{SS}(x - \xi; e_x, e_y) + \gamma_3 U_R(x - \xi; e_x)) \, d\xi \]

\[ + \beta_3 \int_{-c}^{c} (c^2 - \xi^2)^2 \partial_x U_D(x - \xi; e_x) \, d\xi, \quad (B 23) \]

for the second, respectively. Here

\[ \gamma_3 = \frac{1 - e^2}{-2e + (1 + e^2)L_e}, \quad \beta_3 = \frac{(1 - e^2)(L_e - 2e)}{4e(2e^2 - 3) + 6(1 - e^2)L_e} \gamma_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{4e^2}{1 - e^2} \beta_3. \quad (B 24) \]

For the elongational flow \( \Omega xe_x - \Omega ye_y \), the velocity field is (Jeffery 1922)

\[ u = x\{ \Omega + \beta'(W - V) - 2(\alpha + 2\beta)A \}
\]

\[ - \frac{2xP^2}{(a^2 + \lambda)^{3/2}(b^2 + \lambda)^2} \left[ \{ W - 2(a^2 + \lambda)A + 2(b^2 + \lambda)B \} y^2 \right. \]

\[ - \left. \{ V - 2(b^2 + \lambda)C + 2(a^2 + \lambda)A \} z^2 \right], \quad (B 25) \]

\[ v = y\{ -\Omega + \alpha'U - \beta'W - 2(\alpha + 2\beta)B \}
\]

\[ - \frac{2yP^2}{(a^2 + \lambda)^{3/2}(b^2 + \lambda)^2} \left[ \{ U - 2(b^2 + \lambda)B + 2(b^2 + \lambda)C \} z^2/(b^2 + \lambda) \right. \]

\[ - \left. \{ W - 2(a^2 + \lambda)A + 2(b^2 + \lambda)B \} x^2/(a^2 + \lambda)^2 \right], \quad (B 26) \]

\[ w = z\{ \beta'V - \alpha'U - 2(\alpha + 2\beta)C \}
\]

\[ - \frac{2zP^2}{(a^2 + \lambda)^{3/2}(b^2 + \lambda)^2} \left[ \{ V - 2(b^2 + \lambda)C + 2(a^2 + \lambda)A \} x^2/(a^2 + \lambda)^2 \right. \]

\[ - \left. \{ U - 2(b^2 + \lambda)B + 2(b^2 + \lambda)C \} y^2/(b^2 + \lambda)^2 \right], \quad (B 27) \]
where

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - a^2 - b^2) + \sqrt{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - a^2 - b^2)^2 + 4(a^2(y^2 + z^2 - b^2) + b^2x^2)}, \quad (B\,28)
\]

\[
P^2 = \left(\frac{x^2}{(a^2 + \lambda^2)^2} + \frac{y^2 + z^2}{(b^2 + \lambda^2)}\right)^{-1}, \quad (B\,29)
\]

and

\[
\alpha = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a^2 + \lambda)^{3/2}(b^2 + \lambda)} \, d\lambda, \quad \beta = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + \lambda(b^2 + \lambda)^2}} \, d\lambda, \quad (B\,30)
\]

\[
\alpha' = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + \lambda(b^2 + \lambda)^3}} \, d\lambda, \quad \beta' = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a^2 + \lambda)^{3/2}(b^2 + \lambda)^2} \, d\lambda, \quad (B\,31)
\]

\[
\alpha'' = \beta - b^2\alpha', \quad \beta'' = \alpha - b^2\beta'. \quad (B\,32)
\]

The constants $A$–$W$ are

\[
A = \frac{\Omega}{6\beta''_0}, \quad B = \Omega \frac{-2\beta''_0 - \alpha''}{6\beta''_0(\beta''_0 + 2\alpha''_0)}, \quad C = \Omega \frac{-\alpha''_0 + \beta''_0}{6\beta''_0(\beta''_0 + 2\alpha''_0)}, \quad (B\,33)
\]

Figure 28. (a–b) Similar to figure 27, blocked streamlines near the separation surface in the

$y < 0$ half-space, when the spheroid is tilted along the shear with $\kappa = \pi/4$. 

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - a^2 - b^2) + \sqrt{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - a^2 - b^2)^2 + 4(a^2(y^2 + z^2 - b^2) + b^2x^2)}, \quad (B\,28)
\]

\[
P^2 = \left(\frac{x^2}{(a^2 + \lambda^2)^2} + \frac{y^2 + z^2}{(b^2 + \lambda^2)}\right)^{-1}, \quad (B\,29)
\]

and

\[
\alpha = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a^2 + \lambda)^{3/2}(b^2 + \lambda)} \, d\lambda, \quad \beta = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + \lambda(b^2 + \lambda)^2}} \, d\lambda, \quad (B\,30)
\]

\[
\alpha' = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + \lambda(b^2 + \lambda)^3}} \, d\lambda, \quad \beta' = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a^2 + \lambda)^{3/2}(b^2 + \lambda)^2} \, d\lambda, \quad (B\,31)
\]

\[
\alpha'' = \beta - b^2\alpha', \quad \beta'' = \alpha - b^2\beta'. \quad (B\,32)
\]

The constants $A$–$W$ are

\[
A = \frac{\Omega}{6\beta''_0}, \quad B = \Omega \frac{-2\beta''_0 - \alpha''}{6\beta''_0(\beta''_0 + 2\alpha''_0)}, \quad C = \Omega \frac{-\alpha''_0 + \beta''_0}{6\beta''_0(\beta''_0 + 2\alpha''_0)}, \quad (B\,33)
\]
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(a) For an upright spheroid ($\kappa = 0$)

(b) $\kappa = -\pi/4$

(c) $\kappa = \pi/4$

Figure 29. Cross-section of the blocked region at $x = -5$ fixed.

\[
U = -\frac{\Omega b^2}{2\alpha_0'' + \beta_0''}, \quad V = \frac{\Omega b^2(\beta_0'' - \alpha_0'')}{3\beta_0'(\beta_0'' + 2\alpha_0'')}, \quad W = \frac{\Omega a^2}{3\beta_0''} + \frac{\Omega b^2(2\beta_0'' + \alpha_0'')}{3\beta_0'(\beta_0'' + 2\alpha_0')},
\]

where

\[
\alpha_0'' = \frac{3 - e^2}{4a^3e^4(1 - e^2)} - \frac{(e^2 + 3)L_e}{8a^3e^5}
\]

and

\[
\beta_0'' = -6e + \frac{(3 - e^2)L_e}{2a^3e^5}
\]

are $\alpha''$ and $\beta''$ evaluated at $\lambda = 0$, respectively. (More details about the velocity field in the original laboratory frame are provided by Zhao 2010.)

As for the other cases we have discussed, six stagnation points on the tilted spheroid are obtained by rescaling the velocity field in prolate spherical coordinates and linearizing it near the surface. Two of the stagnation points are located at
(0, ±b, 0), while the location of the other four are defined by the solutions of the following equation in prolate spheroid coordinates:

\[
\frac{\cos(2\kappa)\cos(2\nu)}{3(1-e^2)L_e + 2e(2e^2 - 3)} - \frac{\{22e^3 - 18e + (9 - 14e^2 + 5e^4)L_e\} \sin(2\kappa) \sin(2\nu)}{2\sqrt{1-e^2}(6e + (e^2 - 3)L_e)(2e(5e^2 - 3) + 3(e^2 - 1)^2L_e)} + \frac{12e - 8e^3 - 6(1-e^2)L_e + (6e + (e^2 - 3)L_e)e^2\cos(2\kappa)}{e^2(3(1-e^2)L_e + 2e(2e^2 - 3))(1 + e^2)L_e - 2e} = 0. \tag{B 37}
\]

This equation yields two values of the angle \(\nu\) between 0 and \(\pi\), corresponding to two stagnation points on the spheroid in the \(y=0\) symmetry plane. In the original rectangular coordinates, where the spheroid is tilted in the shear flow, these two stagnation points are defined by substituting such solutions \(\nu\) into

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
x_s \\
y_s \\
z_s
\end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix}
-sin(\kappa)\cos(\nu) - \sqrt{1-e^2}\cos(\kappa)\sin(\nu) \\
0 \\
\cos(\kappa)\cos(\nu) - \sqrt{1-e^2}\sin(\kappa)\sin(\nu)
\end{pmatrix}. \tag{B 38}
\]

Using the specular symmetry of the set-up with respect to the origin in the \(y=0\) plane locates the other two stagnation points.

Figures 27 and 28 are plots of numerically evaluated streamlines from the above exact velocity field in the laboratory frame which illustrates the main features of the flow. When the spheroid is tilted in the \(x-z\) plane, the portion of the \(y\)-axis in the fluid turns into a stagnation streamline, as opposed to a line of fixed points for the upright case, i.e. points on the \(y\)-axis move in the \(y\)-direction. However, the \(y\)-axis keeps its feature of being the intersection of separation surfaces bounding the blocked region of fluid. For a given spheroid, the maximum speed on the \(y\)-axis streamline is achieved at a certain finite location on this axis for the tilt corresponding to the angle \(\kappa = \pm \pi/4\). The speed along the \(y\)-axis is zero on the body and at infinity.

(i) When the spheroid is tilted towards the background linear shear \((\kappa < 0)\), on the \(y\)-axis, the flow pushes the fluid particles towards to the spheroid. Figure 27(a) shows the configuration of the linear shear and the tilted spheroid with \(\kappa = -\pi/4\), and figure 27(b) shows the positive suction of the fluid particles when the particle trajectories are close to the separation surface.

(ii) When the spheroid is tilted along the background linear shear \((\kappa > 0)\), on the \(y\)-axis, the flow pushes the fluid particles away from the spheroid. The configuration of the linear shear and the tilted spheroid with \(\kappa = \pi/4\) is shown in figure 28(a), and the negative suction of the fluid particles is illustrated with the blocked fluid particle trajectories close to the separation surface in figure 28(b).

For the cross-section of the blocked region, when the spheroid is upright or horizontal, i.e. when its major axis is aligned along the \(z\)- or \(x\)-axis, respectively, the up-down symmetry (reflections with respect to the \(x-y\) plane) of the set-up is preserved; in this case, the cross-section is symmetric, as shown in figure 29(a). This is similar to the spherical case in figure 6, but notice that corners develop on the bounding surfaces along the \(y=0\) plane. The cross-section height is a decreasing function of \(y\). When the spheroid is tilted in the \(x-z\) plane, the up-down symmetry of the cross-section is also broken: when the spheroid is tilted against the background
stream ($\kappa < 0$), both boundaries of the cross-section are concave upward near $y=0$; when the spheroid is tilted along the background linear shear ($\kappa > 0$), both boundaries of the cross-section are concave downward near $y=0$. Figures 29(b) and 29(c) are cross-sections of the blocked region with $\kappa = -\pi/4$ and $\kappa = \pi/4$, respectively.

Near the corners, the concavity of the boundaries of the blocked region is determined by the spheroid eccentricity $e$ and the tilt angle $\kappa$. From the local analysis near the stagnation points (B 38) in the symmetry plane, the eigenvectors of the matrix of the linearized velocity in the general body frame, where the spheroid is along the $x$-axis, are $(0, 0, a)$ and $a(0, \sqrt{1-e^2} \sin(\nu), \cos(\nu))$. When these two vectors are perpendicular to each other, i.e. the eccentricity $e$ and the tilt angle $\pm \kappa$ satisfy

$$
\frac{12e - 8e^3 - 6(1 - e^2)L_e + (6e + (e^2 - 3)L_e)e^2 \cos(2\kappa)}{e^2(3(1 - e^2)L_e + 2e(2e^2 - 3))((1 + e^2)L_e - 2e)} - \frac{\cos(2\kappa)}{3(1 - e^2)L_e + 2e(2e^2 - 3)} = 0, \quad (B\ 39)
$$

the concavity of the blocked region changes.
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